



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

Heretical Verities

Mathematical Themes in Physical Description

Reviewed by
Professor Ben Krieger
page 33

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor R.P. Oliver
POSTSCRIPTS:

KILLING KENNEDY
page 1

"The Mountain Has Fallen..."
by Winston Smith
Page 45

Letters to the Editor
page 47.

VOL. 20 - NO. 2

OCTOBER 1992

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

| | |
|---|---------|
| SAMPLE COPY | \$ 5.00 |
| THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only | \$40.00 |
| FIRST CLASS-USA | \$50.00 |
| FIRST CLASS-all other countries | \$60.00 |
| AIR MAIL-Europe, South America | \$70.00 |
| Middle East, Far East, South Africa | \$75.00 |
| Sample Copy | \$ 6.50 |

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| 10 copies | \$ 22.00 |
| 50 copies | \$ 90.00 |
| 100 copies | \$150.00 |
| 500 copies | \$600.00 |
| 1000 copies | \$900.00 |

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

KILLING KENNEDY

Given the interest that readers of *Liberty Bell* have expressed in my article in the July issue, pp. 1-12, I now reluctantly return to the hackneyed subject of the assassination of Jackanapes Kennedy in Dallas on 22 November 1963, to clarify two points that I mentioned obiter in July.

I

My article dealt with the American Medical Association, which had mobilized two squads of tame physicians to discredit the widely shown cinema "J.F.K." and an almost concurrently published book by one of the physicians who had been on duty in the Parkland Hospital in Dallas when Kennedy's body was brought into the hospital. It was a desperate attempt to cover up the patent absurdity of the report on the assassination that had been contrived by a commission over which presided Earl Warren, one of the participants in the conspiracy that had expunged a President who had become a political liability.

The book in question is *JFK: Conspiracy of Silence*, by Dr. Charles A. Crenshaw, assisted by Jens K. Hansen, a professional writer and Vice Chairman of a Research Foundation, and J. Gary Shaw, the director of the JFK Assassination Information Center in Dallas, published in New York by the New American Library (a subsidiary of Penguin Books) in April 1992.

The core of the book is the personal observations of Dr. Crenshaw, then a man of thirty, who, although subject to his seniors, could be described, by analogy to military practice, as the executive officer of the hospital, since he was in charge of interns (among whom, by the way, his insistence on absolute accuracy gained him a reputation as a martinet) and of the treatment of persons critically injured in accidents or by gunfire. He was on duty when the bodies of Kennedy and of Oswald, the supposed assassin, were brought to the Parkland Hospital and he witnessed everything that was done medically while the bodies

were in the hospital, participating himself in much of the work. His account is printed in a distinguishing typeface (Helvetica).

Mr. Shaw supplied, from the data accumulated in the Assassination Information Center over a period of twenty-seven years, the information concerning events of which Dr. Crenshaw had no personal knowledge, which are succinctly summarized in strict chronological order and limited to essentials.¹ Mr. Hansen's contribution, I suppose, was stylistic, so I think him responsible for the passages in which the writing descends to crude journalese.

The book cannot in any sense be regarded as inspired by the "right wing." Dr. Crenshaw, who is now Clinical Professor of Surgery at the University of Texas's Southwestern Medical School and Director of the Department of Surgery in the affiliated Smith Hospital in Fort Worth, is undoubtedly a highly skilled physician and surgeon, but his political naïveté is astonishing, almost astounding, when one remembers that he, by the time that Oswald was dead, was in a position to *know* that the assassination of Kennedy had been contrived by some part of the government in Washington. Nevertheless, even today, he denounces "extreme [!] political factions, like the John Birch Society," and reports that, on the morning of 22 November he was

1. He, for example, does not mention the reports that the corpse, presumably Kennedy's, was taken to the Walter Reed Hospital in Washington before it was transferred to the naval hospital in Bethesda. There had obviously been hanky-panky after the corpse left Dallas, if its condition when it reached the hospital in Bethesda was truthfully reported, and it does not really matter where the mischief was done. Nothing categorically excludes a possible substitution of cadavers, but an attempt to patch up the corpse to conceal vital evidence is much more likely. At Bethesda the physicians who conducted a rather perfunctory autopsy found the entry wound of a bullet in Kennedy's back, thus ostensibly showing that he had been shot from the rear—but by a bullet from a comparatively low-powered gun, since there was no corresponding exit wound in his chest—unless, of course, the enchanted bullet climbed up and exited from his throat, where the entry wound observed in Dallas had been enlarged to make it appear an exit wound. Kennedy's back had not been inspected in Dallas, since, in the absence of bleeding and an exit wound in the chest, there was no reason to suppose there was a wound there. Mr. Shaw does not speculate about the possibility that the wound in the back was added when the cadaver was worked over in Washington to provide some indication that Kennedy had been shot from the rear by that poor, lorn critter, Oswald, as the official cover-up required.

(and presumably still is) shocked by a full-page article in a newspaper that "viciously attacked the integrity of President Kennedy" and described him as a Communist and traitor. Unless he is referring to some handbill or fugitive publication that has not come to my attention, he must have in mind the full-page paid advertisement that appeared that morning in the *Dallas Morning News* and occupied page 14 of the first section, an advertisement of which a drastically reduced photograph appears on an adjacent page herewith. The big advertisement did not explicitly make the charges remembered by Dr. Crenshaw, but implied them in a series of questions which are here reprinted on pages 5 and 6.

Evidently Mr. Shaw neglected to tell Dr. Crenshaw that, although the advertisement, which was entirely correct in its implication, was paid for by patriotic Americans in Dallas, that was done on the initiative of an ambiguous individual who is suspected of having been an *agent provocateur*. It would thus have been a preparation for an assassination that could be blamed on patriotic Americans, as was obviously part of the original plan.

Dr. Crenshaw thinks that Kennedy was so generally disliked in Dallas because he "came across [i.e., was regarded] as royalty with his money, his lifestyle, his family, and his charisma." He does not even guess why Dallas was selected as the site for the assassination, and he thinks Kennedy could have been assassinated just as well in Chicago or anywhere else.

That so intelligent a man as Dr. Crenshaw could believe all that even today is an emphatic lesson for everyone who still hopes to break somehow the stupor of the American populace as it is herded to the precipice over which nations and races disappear from history.

Dr. Crenshaw is on solid ground when he explains, on the basis of his own knowledge, why he and the other medical men who knew what had happened at Parkland Hospital so long condoned by their silence the lies that were imposed on the public. A physician is particularly dependent on his reputation for survival in a highly competitive profession, and had he or any other physician disclosed what he knew about the assassination, he would have been deluged in slime from the Jews' liepapers and boob-tubes, excommunicated from

WELCOME MR. KENNEDY

TO DALLAS...

- ... A CITY so disgraced by a recent liberal smear attempt that its citizens have just elected two more Conservative Americans to public office.
- ... A CITY that is an economic "boom town," not because of Federal handouts, but through conservative economic and business practices.
- ... A CITY that will continue to grow and prosper despite efforts by you and your administration to penalize it for its nonconformity to "New Frontiersm."
- ... A CITY that respected your philosophy and policies in 1960 and '62 so again in 1964—more emphatically than before.

MR. KENNEDY, despite contentions on the part of your administration, the State Department, the Mayor of Dallas, the Dallas City Council, and members of your party, we free-thinking and America-thinking citizens of Dallas still have, through a Constitution largely ignored by you, the right to address our grievances, to question you, to disagree with you, and to criticize you.

In asserting this constitutional right, we wish to ask you publicly the following questions—indeed, questions of paramount importance and interest to all free peoples everywhere—which we trust you will answer... in public, without sophistry. These questions are:

WHY is Latin America turning either anti-American or Communistic, or both, despite increased U.S. foreign aid, State Department policy, and your own Ivy-Tower pronouncements?

WHY do you say we have built a "wall of freedom" around Cuba when there is no freedom in Cuba today? Because of your policy, thousands of Cubans have been imprisoned, are starving and being persecuted—with thousands already murdered and thousands more awaiting execution and, in addition, the entire population of almost 7,000,000 Cubans are living in slavery.

WHY have you approved the sale of wheat and corn to our enemies when you know the Communist soldiers "travel on their stomachs" just as ours do? Communist soldiers are daily wounding and/or killing American soldiers in South Viet Nam.

WHY did you host, salute and entertain Tito—Moscow's Trojan Horse—just a short time after our sworn enemy, Khrushchev, embraced the Yugoslav dictator as a great hero and leader of Communism?

WHY have you urged greater aid, comfort, recognition, and understanding for Yugoslavia, Poland, Hungary, and other Communist countries, while turning your back on the pleas of Hungarian, East German, Cuban and other anti-Communist freedom fighters?

WHY did Cambodia lick the U.S. out of its country after we poured nearly 400 Million Dollars of aid into its ultra-leftist government?

WHY has Gus Hall, head of the U.S. Communist Party praised almost every one of your policies and announced that the party will endorse and support your re-election in 1964?

WHY have you banned the showing at U.S. military bases of the film "Operation Abolition"—the movie by the House Committee on Un-American Activities exposing Communism in America?

WHY have you ordered or permitted your brother Bobby, the Attorney General, to go soft on Communists, fellow-travelers, and ultra-leftists in America, while permitting him to persecute loyal Americans who criticize you, your administration, and your leadership?

WHY are you in favor of the U.S. continuing to give economic aid to Argentina, in spite of that fact that Argentina has just seized almost 400 Million Dollars of American private property?

WHY has the Foreign Policy of the United States degenerated to the point that the C.I.A. is arranging coups and having staunch Anti-Communist Allies of the U.S. bloodily exterminated?

WHY have you scrapped the Monroe Doctrine in favor of the "Spirit of Moscow"?

MR. KENNEDY, as citizens of these United States of America, we DEMAND answers to these questions, and we want them NOW.

THE AMERICAN FACT-FINDING COMMITTEE

"An unaffiliated and non-partisan group of citizens who wish truth"

BERNARD WEISSMAN,
Chairman

P.O. Box 1792—Dallas 21, Texas

MR. KENNEDY, despite the contentions on the part of your administration, the State Department, the Mayor of Dallas, the Dallas City Council, and members of your party, we free-thinking and American-thinking citizens of Dallas still have, through a Constitution largely ignored by you, the right to address our grievances, to question you, to disagree with you, and to criticize you.

In asserting this constitutional right, we wish to ask you publicly the following questions—indeed, questions of paramount importance and interest to all free people everywhere—which we trust you will answer... in public, without sophistry. These questions are:

WHY is Latin America turning either anti-American or Communistic, or both, despite increased U.S. foreign aid, State Department policy, and your own Ivy-Tower pronouncements?

WHY do you say we have built a "wall of freedom" around Cuba when there is no freedom in Cuba today? Because of your policy, thousands of Cubans have been imprisoned, are starving and being persecuted—with thousands already murdered and thousands more awaiting execution and, in addition, the entire population of almost 7,000,000 Cubans are living in slavery.

WHY have you approved the sale of wheat and corn to our enemies when you know the Communist soldiers "travel on their stomachs" just as ours do? Communist soldiers are daily wounding and/or killing American soldiers in South Vietnam.

WHY did you host, salute and entertain Tito—Moscow's Trojan Horse—just a short time after our sworn enemy, Khrushchev, embraced the Yugoslav dictator as a great hero and leader of Communism?

WHY have you urged greater aid, comfort, recognition, and understanding for Yugoslavia, Poland, Hungary, and other Communist countries, while turning your back on the pleas of Hungarians, East German, Cuban and other anti-Communist freedom fighters?

WHY did Cambodia kick the U.S. out of its country after we poured nearly 400 Million Dollars of aid into its ultra-leftist government?

WHY has Gus Hall, head of the U.S. Communist Party, praised almost every one of your policies and announced that the party will endorse and support your re-election in 1964?

WHY have you banned the showing at U.S. military bases of the film "Operation Abolition"—the movie by the House Committee on Un-American Activities exposing Communism in America?

WHY have you ordered or permitted your brother Bobby, the Attorney General, to go soft on Communists, fellow-travelers, and ultra-leftists in America, while permitting him to persecute loyal Americans who criticize you, your administration, and your leadership?

WHY are you in favor of the U.S. continuing to give economic aid to Argentina, in spite of the fact that Argentina has just seized almost 400 Million Dollars of American private property?

WHY has the Foreign Policy of the United States degenerated to the point that the C.I.A. is arranging coups and having staunch Anti-Communist Allies of the U.S. bloodily exterminated?

WHY have you scrapped the Monroe Doctrine in favor of the "Spirit of Moscow"?

MR. KENNEDY, as citizens of these United State of America, we DEMAND answers to these questions, and we want them NOW.

the occupation that was his only livelihood, reduced with his wife and child to indigence, and, if that did not suffice, murdered (probably suicided by a competent technician from the Secret Service, F.B.I., or C.I.A.).² Dr. Crenshaw begins by showing us the grinding routine of a resident surgeon in Parkland, which was not an ordinary hospital but instead an "academic hospital," operating in conjunction with the Southwestern Medical School and devoted to teaching and research. Two members of its staff have won the Nobel Prize in Medicine, and Dr. Crenshaw himself, in his first year at Parkland, "made medical history" with research directed by Dr. Shires which discovered "that death from haemorrhagic shock (blood loss) can be due primarily to the body's adjunctive depletion of salt water into the cells." Two other physicians discovered a means of averting irreparable damage to the kidneys of a patient in trauma—a "medical breakthrough" so important that, in the opinion of persons competent to judge, it deserved a Nobel Prize.

At the time of the assassination, Dr. Crenshaw was in charge of the four "trauma rooms" in the hospital, to which persons who had been smashed up in automobile accidents or critically wounded by gunfire were brought from all over the area around Dallas, since the hospital was specially equipped to treat such cases. It is sometimes

2. In this, Dr. Crenshaw is absolutely correct. Immediately after the assassination, when the information that appeared in the censored press made it seem certain that Oswald had killed both Kennedy and a policeman named Tippett, it was nevertheless obvious to anyone who considered the question objectively that the assassination had been the work of a conspiracy that had used Oswald as its tool. I accordingly stated that fact publicly in an issue of *American Opinion*, and since I had participated in the foundation of the John Birch Society and was a member of its National Council, my statement attracted attention; diseased pus spurted from almost every editorial office in the country and was lapped up by millions of nitwits. Cf. *America's Decline*, pp. 163 f. Since the Warren Commission ascertained that I had no personal knowledge of the facts and had only reasoned from published information, it was not thought necessary to murder me. The episode was an unpleasant experience, but I now regret it only because it preserved the Birch Society by forcing the panic-stricken Welcher to face facts. Had I remained silent, the Birch business would probably have disintegrated in 1964 or 1965, and I would not have had to resign from it in 1966, after I succeeded in discovering who then controlled it and supervised Robert Welch.

forgotten that Governor Connally of Texas, who was riding with Kennedy, was critically wounded by a bullet that entered his chest and passed through his body (and so necessarily had been fired by a marksman *ahead* of the automobile). He was treated in a "trauma room" of Parkland by a second surgical team, but Dr. Crenshaw was told in detail what was done. It is his opinion that if Connally had been taken to any other hospital, he would have died. As it was, he survived and recovered from his wounds, but was politically ruined by his enemy, Lyndon Johnson, who had acquired the powers of the Presidency.

Dr. Crenshaw gives an orderly and precise account, sometimes minute by minute, of what happened in the Parkland Hospital while the bodies of Kennedy and, later, Oswald were there. His report makes obvious how muzzy, incomplete, and evasive was the story told by the three physicians who obediently recited for the Medical Association and tried to bolster Earl Warren's hoax by such disingenuous claims as that they had been too busy to notice whether or not the bullet that entered Kennedy's throat and the bullet that blew part of his brain out of the back of his skull had come from behind him.

For the details of the condition of the body and the efforts of the physicians, see Dr. Crenshaw's book, and see the book also for a listing of important but long suppressed contributory evidence about the assassination, presumably compiled by Mr. Shaw.³ I shall here call attention only to points that clarify or correct what I reported in my article.

3. He reports ascertained facts, but you should appraise them critically. Identifications made by persons not personally acquainted with the person identified are notoriously inconclusive when not corroborated by other evidence. As for the puzzling report by Rubenstein's former employee, Rose Cheramie, in Louisiana, remember that expert technicians planning an assassination in Dallas might well have taken the precaution of providing evidence of a seemingly independent conspiracy (e.g., by agents of Castro) that could be used to cover up their own, if something went wrong. The young woman, who seems to have been known only by what was probably a "professional" name, may have invented the story she told two days before the assassination. That she was in some way implicated is shown by the fact that it was deemed expedient to murder her later.

Kennedy, for all practical purposes, died instantly when the assassin's second bullet destroyed the entire right half of his brain. When he was brought into the hospital, "the entire right hemisphere of his brain was missing, beginning at the hairline and extending all the way behind his right ear. Pieces of skull that had not been blown away were hanging by blood-matted hair." Dr. Crenshaw and the other physicians knew, of course, that Kennedy was dead, but the action of the heart had not entirely ceased, and they made an effort to keep alive, not Kennedy, but his corpse. Had they succeeded, they would have performed a medical miracle and produced a living but mindless hulk of insentient tissue, something much more horrible than a zombie.⁴

Jacqueline Kennedy did not wander in incipient hysteria around the room while the physicians worked. She was doubtless shocked, but she never lost self-control and remained composed at all times. At Dr. Crenshaw's suggestion, she left the room before the efforts to preserve a semblance of life in her husband's body began and waited outside the room until she accompanied the priest who had been summoned to administer the rite of extreme unction.⁵

The disturbance in the trauma room was occasioned, not by Jacqueline Kennedy, but by an agent of the Secret Service, who ran about,

4. If I remember correctly, there is on record the remarkable instance of a man who survived a bullet that had passed through his head from one temple to the other, but had not destroyed any large or vital part of the brain. I feel certain that there would have been no precedent for maintenance of life in Kennedy's corpse, but I have not tried to go through the pertinent medical textbooks. This fact evidently gave rise to a theory about the assassination of which I had not heard before I saw it mentioned by Dr. Crenshaw: that Kennedy's cadaver is still kept obscenely alive in some subterranean vault under the Parkland Hospital!

5. Despite her composure, Dr. Crenshaw, who seems to have had a kind of sentimental admiration of the Kennedys, was convinced by her conduct that she was really consumed with grief and love for her husband. That is not impossible. The terrible finality of death excites strong emotions, belated regret for what can never come again, and an awed perception of the insignificance and evanescence of all human life. When Mrs. Kennedy returned with the priest, she kissed the big toe of one of Kennedy's feet, thus grotesquely imitating what obligatory etiquette had required of a concubine or odalisque of the Sultan of Turkey when summoned to serve her lord.

"waving a cocked and ready-to-fire .38 caliber pistol."⁶ He may have been distraught, as he seemed to be, or he may have been detailed to make certain that Kennedy could not live or that agents of the F.B.I. were not allowed to see the wounds. He was persuaded to withdraw. There was an unexplained hostility between the Secret Service and the F.B.I. As Kennedy's body was being brought into the hospital, an agent of the Secret Service, armed with a sub-machine gun, used his weapon as a club to smash the face of an agent of the F.B.I., perhaps because the latter had wanted to accompany the body to the trauma room.

When Kennedy was officially pronounced dead, the agents of the Secret Service did not rush away with the wheeled table on which the body lay, presumably to have it packed for shipment elsewhere, as the American Medical Association's physicians implied in their recitation. On the contrary, a bronze coffin (not the wooden one in which the body was delivered in Bethesda) had been obtained, and Kennedy's body was properly and decently placed in it by the hospital's staff. The trouble arose when the physicians and then the Dallas County Coroner, Dr. Earl Rose, tried to prevent removal of the body before the requirements of the laws of Texas had been met, as could have been done, Dr. Rose estimated, in three-quarters of an hour. The Secret Service men were determined to prevent such examination of the body. They used their guns to intimidate the physicians, and finally their chief, a man named Kellerman, raised his sub-machinegun, pointed it at Dr. Rose's chest, and promised to pull the trigger if he did not step aside. The thug's cohorts were ready to draw their guns from their holsters. Dr. Crenshaw was convinced that they would have murdered Dr. Rose and then killed all the witnesses, had Dr. Rose not yielded to their violence.

A Justice of the Peace named Ward, either intimidated by the gunmen or politically corrupt, signed a lying certificate that an autopsy had been performed and an inquest held before the body

6. Obviously not a .38 Colt Cobra, the weapon preferred for shoulder-holsters, but presumably a revolver. The word 'pistol' is ambiguous, but .38 caliber automatic pistols were rare, and the .358 and .40 had not yet been introduced. The numerous agents of the Secret Service and F.B.I. at the hospital appear to have been armed with .38 or .45 caliber weapons that they carried in holsters at their hips, partly concealed by the coat-tails of their fashionable suits. Some also carried sub-machineguns.

was removed. The Warren Commission, however, did not dare to use that blatant falsification.

It is now virtually certain that one of the principals in the assassination was a petty crook named Lyndon Johnson, who, doubtless counselled by his wife, a wealthy Jewess, had slithered up to the post of Vice President.⁷ The death of Kennedy saved him from loss of that position in 1964⁸ and boosted him into the Presidency, a position which he managed to retain until 1968. It is not remarkable that the Secret Service men guarded him sedulously, even the night before the assassination, when the men detailed to guard Kennedy went out on a glorious drunk.

While Johnson was flying to Washington with Kennedy's corpse, he was informed from Washington (i.e., by McGeorge Bundy or Commander Hallet in the White House) that "no conspiracy" was concerned in the assassination—this at a time when no investigation had been made (Oswald had just been arrested and was being questioned, but denied that he was the assassin). Obviously, Johnson was being informed that the high command had decided to make the assassination the work of a 'loner'—and had probably also decided that Oswald was a suitable patsy and must be eliminated before he had a chance to make a formal statement.⁹

The morning after the assassination, Johnson, apparently not trusting the efficiency of the conspiracy's agents and itching with

7. The best characterization of Johnson is *A Texas Looks at Lyndon*, by J. Evatts Haley, a real Texan, and published by his Palo Duro Press, Canyon, Texas, in 1964. It is said that about two million copies of this book were sold, but, so far as I know, it is now out-of-print. It is to be regretted that the book was not revised in a second edition which would have included the crook's disgusting performances in the White House.

8. On the political situation in November 1963, see the appendix below.

9. Oswald prudently refused to make a statement before he had a lawyer to advise him. It is probable that he was also awaiting instructions from his employers, who may or may not have been agents of the F.B.I. He was in touch with a local agent of that organization named Hosty, and about two weeks before the assassination had left with the Special Agent in Charge in Dallas a memorandum or report that was torn up and flushed down a sewer after the event. He was in any case acting for some covert agency of the Federal government. There is an unsubstantiated but not implausible theory that he was thus employed while in Russia. However that may be, it is likely that his activities on behalf

worry lest crucial facts be somehow ascertained and divulged, telephoned Captain Will Fritz, chief of the homicide detail of the Dallas police, and *ordered* him to stop all investigation of the assassination. It is proof of the distance we have descended into dictatorship while the boobs were led to believe that the parts of the Constitution that had not been rescinded in 1865 were still in effect, that Captain Fritz did not reply, "You have no Constitutional authority to order me to violate the laws of Texas, you son-of-a-bitch." Instead he obeyed, and told his friends, "When the President of the United States called, what could I do?"

The Dallas police, however, did continue to interrogate Oswald and arraigned him, not for the assassination of Kennedy, but for the murder of a policeman, Tippet, who was killed shortly after the assassination for reasons still unknown.

When Oswald, still barely alive, was brought to the Parkland Hospital and physicians were trying to save his life,¹⁰ Johnson himself had Dr. Crenshaw, who was in charge, called to the telephone, and

of Fidel Castro's Soviet outpost in Cuba were carried out while he was in the employ of some agency of the government in Washington, and that he was directed to make his attempt to murder General Edwin A. Walker, the most prominent anti-Communist in Dallas. (Had the attempt succeeded, it could have been argued that American patriots in Dallas assassinated Kennedy in revenge for the murder of Walker.) His rôle in the assassination, like that of Rubenstein, alias Ruby, with whom he seems to have acted in concert, is still undetermined. A possible element in the puzzle is the fact that the C.I.A. is legally forbidden to operate within the United States, so that its domestic agents commonly operate as, and may actually be, agents of the F.B.I. All these speculations may seem far-fetched to persons who have no knowledge of the secret operations of intelligence agencies.

10. As is well known, Oswald, while handcuffed between two detectives, was murdered by a gangster from Chicago named Jacob Rubenstein, alias Jack Ruby, who thus acquired the distinction of being the first man to commit murder while being photographed by several television cameras. Rubenstein, who operated night clubs in Dallas, had been associated with Oswald in some transactions of which the nature is still uncertain. He had a criminal record and was a paid employee of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, but Earl Warren naturally covered up for him in his report. Rubenstein had obviously been instructed to eliminate Oswald before he could make a formal statement.

told him that he, the new President, wanted a "deathbed confession" of guilt from Oswald, and that his agent, a typical thug from the Secret Service, sartorially disguised in then fashionable clothing that emphasized the impressive pistol in his holster, was waiting to take that confession. The confession, needed to bolster the propaganda that Oswald was the real assassin, would doubtless have been faked in some way, but Oswald died soon after Johnson's telephone call, and the frustrated thug with his gun departed.

The only alternative to taking the nervous crook's telephone calls as tantamount to a confession of guilt is a desperate hypothesis that Johnson was impersonated on the telephone by some other member of the conspiracy.

Mr. Shaw believes that J. Edgar Hoover withheld evidence obtained by his Bureau because he was a friend of Lyndon Johnson. Informed opinion in Washington was to the effect that Hoover withheld incriminating evidence to prevent Johnson and "Bobby Sox" Kennedy from retiring him as head of the F.B.I. After Johnson was elected in November 1964, he replaced Kennedy with a Jew, Katzenbach, who had been officially Deputy Attorney General and, in all likelihood, actually Kennedy's supervisor, but Hoover remained the Director of the F.B.I. until his death in 1972. The evidence that he used to protect himself by political blackmail has not been revealed. It may be disclosed in 2039, when the files sealed by order of Lyndon

In Dr. Crenshaw's opinion, it might have been possible to save Oswald's life, if, within three minutes after he was shot, he had been given the treatment he received in the trauma room at Parkland; that, of course, would not have been possible, and the delay of fifteen minutes made death inevitable. Had Oswald lived, Johnson and his fellow conspirators would have had to devise some means of silencing him before he could talk. Mr. Shaw's summary does not mention the significant fact that Rubenstein in prison evidently decided to disclose some of the crucial facts to a female journalist, Dorothy Kilgallen, who delightedly told her friends that she was going to "blow sky high" the official story of the assassination, but was murdered before she could do so. Rubenstein was eliminated soon thereafter; the official story was that he had died of sudden cancer. It has been estimated that a total of some forty to fifty persons, witnesses to one or another crucial incident, were murdered to prevent them from contradicting the Warren Report. There are still many naïvely opinionated Americans who refuse to understand the character of the government that rules them.

Johnson may be opened—if, at that time, the rulers of the territory that is now the United States are interested in events of what will then be a dead past.

II

In my article I indicated the major motive for the assassination of Kennedy: the need to abort the growing dissatisfaction of the American people with a government that was obviously acting in the interests of our enemies, the masters of Communist Russia—a dissatisfaction that had been brought close to the boiling point by the Indignation Meetings held throughout the country, which were sponsored by patriotic Americans in Dallas.

This purpose was achieved and the pro-American movement liquidated by the assassination, followed by a spectacular funeral for which the Army detachment had been diligently rehearsed in advance and at which Jacqueline Kennedy gave a brilliant performance. A well-contrived deluge of wildly irrational bathos in the press and over television sufficed to reduce the majority of Americans to the status of savages who beat their breasts and howl when their big chief dies.

Very many—perhaps the majority of anti-Communists exposed themselves as poltroons. On the morning of the twenty-second of November they had talked loudly of impeaching “that son-of-a-bitch” for high treason. That afternoon they should have said, or at least thought, “Good riddance!” But the next day they were tearfully protesting they had always respected and loved “our martyred President” and had only differed from him about some minor matters of policy, as was permissible in “our great democracy.” They were a nauseating spectacle.

The assassination of Kennedy was thus a crucial event in American history, canceling what was the last reasonable hope that the American people could escape the doom prepared for them by their implacable enemies.

Various other motives have been suggested, all of which are trivial in comparison with what was accomplished. I did mention in my article the least nugatory, a report that has been widely current in “right-wing” circles in recent years. I quote it from what is probably the last issue of *Racial Loyalty* (May 1992), which quotes the Canadian Intelligence Service, which in turn cited other sources:

Kennedy...bypassed the Jewish Federal Reserve and issued government notes...as did President Abraham Lincoln a hundred years earlier and for which he, too, paid the ultimate price. ... On June 30, 1965, Kennedy signed Executive Order No. 11110, and further amended E.O. No. 10289 of September 19, 1952, thereby giving the President authority to issue the currency. He thereupon ordered the issue of \$4,292,893,875.00. This was almost ten times as much as the \$450,000,000.00 [“greenbacks”] printed by Lincoln during the Civil War. He evidently forced the then Secretary of the Treasury, C. Douglas Dillon, another name-changing Jew (Lapowski?), to sign the United States notes. Shortly thereafter...Kennedy paid the ultimate price and was shot, as was Lincoln. ... The first thing President Johnson did when he flew back to Washington was to reverse this order.

Now Executive Order No. 11110 is indexed in the *Federal Register* as pertaining to treasury notes and silver certificates, and the reported tenor of it was quite plausible. It was even possible, though unlikely, that the amount mentioned had been printed, although not put into circulation.¹ The report therefore was not invalidated by a mistake about Kennedy’s intent and about the effect of Lincoln’s issue of ‘greenbacks.’

It must be remembered that in the autumn of 1963, Kennedy’s popularity had been greatly impaired and he could not have been reelected in 1964 without some heroic effort to regain the favor he had lost. (See the appendix below). It would have been reasonable for him to try some spectacular manoeuvre that would be commended by many of the intelligent Americans whom his conduct in office had alienated and angered—especially a manoeuvre that seemed to avert national bankruptcy and to limit the looting of the country by the Federal Reserve. His administration, furthermore, was riddled by fighting for power within it, and such an order, even if never carried out, would have sufficed to intimidate some factions.

1. A mistake about the issuance of the notes was facilitated by the fact that part of Lincoln’s issue of ‘greenbacks’ was never withdrawn, and a very small part of that part is kept in circulation, as required by law. When the pieces of paper are worn out, they are replaced by freshly printed notes, which, of course, are signed by the Secretary of the Treasury in office at the time.

There appeared to be a real rift within the organization of our rulers (as distinct from dissent simulated to entertain the populace). A correspondent kindly informs me that he clearly remembers that, not long before Kennedy was expunged, Eisenhower appeared on television irately to denounce Kennedy for plans to tamper with the sacrosanct Federal Reserve, going so far as to regret that he had not campaigned for Nixon and thus assured his election in place of Kennedy. Since I almost never watch the Jews' picture-shows, I did not see that program. I do have vague recollections of very adverse criticism of Kennedy by the Super-Sheeny, Avraham ben Elazar, alias Dr. Henry Kissinger,² who was probably the Jewish satrap in charge of supervising the government in Washington. This seemed to indicate an internal struggle among our rulers, possibly a struggle between two factions of the ruling race.³

The issue, which still divides the "right-wing," can be summarized, if stripped to its barest essentials. Money in the strict sense of the word appears to have been an Aryan invention made in the seventh century b.c., when coins replaced barter in commercial transactions. It consisted of coins of gold, silver, and electrum (an alloy of the two), with tokens of bronze and copper for fractions of a coin of 2. The real name of Kissinger was disclosed by the Supreme Rabbinic Court of America when he was excommunicated from Jewry on 20 June 1976. The real reason for the excommunication has not been disclosed, and it would be a waste of time to consider conjectural explanations.

3. We must remember that although God's Race presents a united front against our race, which they both despise and hate, there are often violent disagreements about the expediency of some policy and consequently frequent, if not constant, quarrels between factions within the Self-Chosen People. For a good example, see Lenni Bremmer, *The Iron Wall: Zionist Revisionism from Jabotinsky to Shamir* (London, Zed Books, 1984). Needless to say, the "revisionism" mentioned in the title has nothing to do with the "revisionism" of honest historians who are now trying to expose the Jews' great Holofoax. Zionist "revisionism" deals with changes in policies for putting and keeping the *goyim* in their servile place. Bremmer particularly reprehends Shamir and his party of Zionists for attempts to enter into a military alliance with Adolf Hitler to expedite his "ultimate solution" of the Jewish problem in Germany by transferring the Jews in Germany to Palestine. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, March 1991, pp. 1-3; April-May 1991, pp. 108-114.

precious metals. It was supplemented by credit, that is to say, promises to pay a specified number of coins at a specified date or on demand. The precious metals thereafter served as a fixed measure of value.

In the later Middle Ages, when coins of precious metal were stored with goldsmiths (most of them Sheenies) for safe-keeping, the goldsmiths issued certificates of deposit for money stored with them, and soon learned that they could issue many more certificates than the gold they had on hand, since only a fraction of the certificates would be brought for redemption at any one time. With unimportant and ephemeral exceptions, the basis of all currency was coins of precious metal, and financial crises were caused by the issue of more certificates of deposit (bank notes) than the coins available to redeem them. The first serious attempt to replace the precious metals was made by the criminals of the French Revolution, who issued *assignats* in such quantities that the paper became worthless. The paper money issued by the Continental Congress during the American Revolution coined only the phrase "Not worth a Continental" and gave an impressive lesson in the use of currency that could be printed and multiplied by legislatures with the dishonesty that is normal in democracies.

The Constitution, therefore, contemplated only the issue of coins of precious metals, and until the Northern states attacked the Confederacy in 1861, the currency consisted of precious metals and the notes issued by private banks, redeemable on demand in real money, which were in general use because the weight of any fairly large sum of money (gold or silver) was more than an individual could conveniently carry on his person.

Since the latter part of the Nineteenth Century Americans have been faced with a choice between several kinds of currency, viz.: (a) gold coins and bank or treasury notes certifying that their face value in coins were on deposit and could be obtained on demand; (b) coins of both gold and silver issued on some fixed ratio of value between the two and similarly represented by bank or treasury notes; (c) the National Banks' paper currency based on debt, i.e., government bonds held by banks that collect interest on them, the bonds, however, being theoretically exchangeable for real money, so that the paper currency could likewise be converted to real money when desired; (d) 'greenbacks,' i.e., fiat "money," paper currency representing no real money and having value only by unconstitutional

legislation compelling individuals to accept it in the payment of all debts, but having the advantage that the Federal government can issue them instead of borrowing from banks and paying interest to them; (e) the present system, perfected after Kennedy's time, whereby the Federal Reserve issues notes that are actually 'greenbacks' but collects interest on them as though they represented real money.

Americans who hope to regain possession of the country that once was theirs recognize, of course, that (e) is simply an outrageous system for exploiting slaves, but they differ greatly about the expediency of (a), (b), (c), and (d). That debate is irrelevant to our interests here, where we need only to consider Kennedy's reported intent to resort to (d) on a large scale, as was done by Lincoln's administration in 1862.

Abraham Lincoln, a shrewd backwoods politician though not without some principles,⁴ was put in the White House by a scabrous gang of hate-crazed fanatics or degenerates, such as Thaddeus Stevens, and a pack of politicians greedy for loot, who called themselves the Republican Party, having stolen even their name from the American Republican Party, which the Abolitionists had been used to disrupt.

Lincoln, who is reported to have said that he was bought and sold several times at the Republican convention that nominated him, came to Washington knowing that his function was to destroy the American Constitution, for which he had little respect, and to end the American Republic by attacking the South.

Part of the deal was that he was to make a scabrous politician named Salmon P. Chase the Secretary of the Treasury in the interests of the then great banking house of Jay Cooke, who ensured Chase's obedience by giving him for "expenses" \$100,000 (in real money; the

4. The "Great Emancipator" seems to have had one real principle, dislike of niggers, whom he wished to export from American territory. In the "emancipation proclamation" he made provision for shipping the niggers back to Africa or some more convenient place in the Caribbean or Central America, and he did export at least five thousand of them to Haiti. That is the number exported, at a cost of \$50.00 a head, under contract by Leonard Jerome, a financier, thought to have been partly Jewish, whose daughter married Lord Randolph Churchill and became the mother of the notorious Winston Churchill. On Lincoln's character, see especially the article by Sam G. Dickson, "Shattering the Icon of Abraham Lincoln," in the *Journal of Historical Review*, VII (1986), pp. 319-344.

equivalent of at least \$20,000,000 in the Federal Reserve's pieces of printed paper that the White Slaves now use as a substitute for money). In return, Chase gave Cooke's banking house the extremely lucrative monopoly of underwriting the entire Federal debt. No one objected because everyone was delighted when Chase began to deface our currency with the silly motto, "In God We Trust."⁵

Chase suspended payment in specie (i.e., real money) at the Treasury, and the banks in the Northern states had to do likewise. With the way thus prepared, Lincoln, in 1862, obtained Congressional permission for Chase to issue \$150,000,000 worth of paper currency which was made legal tender in open violation of the Constitution (which the suckers thought still in force), and after that first splurge it was easy to increase the fiat currency by increments of \$150,000,000 every few months.

Was this, as naïve persons believe, a threat to the banking interests headed by Cooke? Far from it. The enormous cost of the invasion and conquest of the South was more than could be conveniently absorbed by credit from Cooke, Rothschild, and associates. The 'greenbacks' were simply preparation for two brilliant *coups de bourse*.

First, the looters gained control of most of the independent banks in the United States by inaugurating the bizarre scheme of basing currency on debt. As explained by Dr. Murray Rothbard,⁶ "Cooke and Chase then managed to use the virtual Republican monopoly in Congress during the war to transform the American commercial banking system from a relatively free market to a National Banking System centralized under Wall Street control. A crucial aspect of this system was that national banks could only expand credit in proportion to the Federal bonds they owned—bonds which they could only buy from Jay Cooke." Neat, wasn't it?

Second, in addition to destabilizing the independent banks and thus bringing them under the control of Cooke and the Rothschilds, the 'greenbacks' provided the financiers with gorgeous loot. It must be remembered that in the 1860s, the Northern Americans, although crazed with homicidal righteousness, were not so befuddled that they would have tolerated the present system, by which the international bankers, through their Federal Reserve swindle, issue 'greenbacks' and

5. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, September 1984, pp. 2-3, 6.

6. *MoneyWorld*, Winter 1988, p. 24.

collect cumulative interest on them. The 'greenbacks' had to be issued as Treasury Notes, which the populace, crazed by their unholy war, were forced to accept as legal tender, and which were not even backed by a pledge they would ever be redeemed in money. Naturally, the result was that there were three quite different kinds of currency: intrinsically worthless 'greenbacks,' the notes of private banks which promised redemption in real money (gold or silver) and might be so redeemed after the end of the war, and gold and silver coins, which had intrinsic value and were obviously safe and preferable to paper notes, so that cautious persons invested their savings in them. As was to be expected, the 'greenbacks' rapidly depreciated in value. The Southerners defended themselves effectively until they were finally overcome by attrition, and the outcome of the Northern states' war of aggression remained doubtful until 1865. Had the South succeeded in defending its independence, the 'greenbacks' would have become worthless, and they soon dropped to fractions of their face value in real money, i.e., gold and silver. Their value eventually fell to 35¢. The conspirators bought the trash wholesale, and when paper for which they had paid \$0.35 was eventually redeemed for \$1.00 in real money, they realized a modest profit—modest by the standards of international finance.

In 1963, the boobs had not yet been completely reduced to their present status as a helpless and enslaved proletariat. Although the American Lenin, soon after he began the systematic destruction of America in 1933, had forbidden his subjects to have gold money, they were still permitted to own silver coins that had intrinsic value, and which were also represented by silver certificates issued by the Treasury and still honestly redeemed on demand in 1963. Their masters intended, of course, to take those bits of real money from them, but the procedure by which that was to be done may not have been definitely determined. Furthermore, the publication of Gertude Coogan's *The Money Creators* in 1935 and several books derived from it had permitted any literate person to understand the Federal Reserve swindle,⁷ but almost no one understood the great 'greenback' *coup* in

7. Some business men foresaw that the enormous quantity of trading stamps in circulation could never be redeemed in money. One man, who dealt in player pianos, each of which sold for several thousand dollars, offered his customers a 15% discount for payment in real money, i.e., silver, since the American serfs were forbidden to possess gold.

Lincoln's day, which was not mentioned even in college courses in (censored) American History.

The time was ripe, therefore, for a new 'greenback' swindle, which could also be used to revive the waning popularity of Jackanapes. The widely circulated report, which I quoted from *Racial Loyalty* above, was entirely plausible. And everyone knew, of course, of the sinister Executive Orders by which preparations have been made for the impositions of a Lenin-style dictatorship whenever it is deemed expedient to beat the White boobs into their styes. (What may be the worst of these, Executive Order 12148, issued by Jimmy "the Jerk" Carter on 20 July 1979, is reproduced in full, with apposite commentary, in a special twelve-page supplement to the *Spotlight* that was distributed with the issue dated 25 May 1992).

The apparently documented attribution of an Executive Order for fiat currency to Kennedy was so plausible that many intelligent Americans, ignoring the more obvious motive for the highly successful assassination that I have mentioned above, leaped to the conclusion that Kennedy had been assassinated to prevent the issuance of currency on which the country would not have to pay usury to the Federal Reserve. And the supposed purport of Executive Order 11110 is mentioned in the campaign speeches of the American candidate for the Presidency, Colonel James "Bo" Gritz, who, although you would never know it from the jwspapers and boob tubes, is on the ballot in some twenty states as the candidate of a Populist Party and could receive 'write-in' votes in all but seven of the remaining states. In his speeches he elaborates on what he said in *Called to Serve*, that Kennedy "prepared his own death warrant" because, *inter alia*, "he actually minted [!] non-debt money."⁸

8. The quotation comes from p. 512. In my review of Colonel Gritz's *Called to Serve* in *Liberty Bell*, May 1992, I noted that his book contains a perceptive critique of the official lies about the assassinations of both Kennedys and what appeared to be an attempt to assassinate Reagan. This section (pp. 512-553) is well worth reading, and you will find it worthwhile to take a magnifying glass and read the documents photographed on the seventh, ninth, and eleventh unnumbered pages that follow p. 554. I wish Colonel Gritz could have had the benefit of Dr. Crenshaw's book, but he leaves no doubt but that the evidence for conspiratorial dirty work in all those incidents is overwhelming and incontrovertible. (There is a slight discrepancy between what is said about the position of Oswald at the time of the assassination on p. 525 and the statement on p. 531, resulting from an unresolved conflict in evidence.) My review warns you to discount the author's naïve acceptance

Unfortunately, the plausible report is a hoax. The person who contrived it was ingenious. He gave the numbers of Executive Orders that did deal with currency, and he provided the seemingly precise figure of \$4,292,893,815,00 as the amount of 'greenbacks' authorized by Kennedy.⁹

Executive Order 10289, issued by Sheeny Truman, 17 September 1951, consists of three long sections, called "paragraphs," each containing a number of "subparagraphs." All authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to perform specified functions without further authorization from the President. Paragraph 1, which has eight subparagraphs, (a) to (h), deals exclusively with the collection of customs duties, port duties, American yachts, and hospital ships. Paragraph 2 has three subparagraphs pertaining to currency: (c) authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury "to issue rules and regulations (with respect to silver bullion) necessary or proper to carry out the

of Judaeo-Communist propaganda about the loveliness of "democracy," the horrors of "Fascism," and the Satanic work of "Hitler's mad dogs," which gravely becloud his inferences; in addition, he, like Dr. Crenshaw, has a sentimentally uncritical admiration of Kennedy. But when he discusses the mechanics of the assassinations, he speaks as an expert. He has used all the weapons employed in such work; he has himself killed many men and observed the killing of many others. He knows, better than any physician, how men react to the bullets that kill them, and he knows how to organize covert operations and ambushes. I am sure that if Colonel Gritz and his Green Berets had disposed of Kennedy, they would have done a perfect job and left nothing for Earl Warren to cover up. His scenario of the assassination of Jackanapes is more complex than my summary account, which, using Occam's razor, I reduced to the bare essentials, and incorporates much cogent evidence that I did not mention. — I wish we could hope that Colonel Gritz, a true American and a national hero, would win a number of Electoral votes in November. Needless to say, if there were any chance whatsoever of his attaining the Presidency, we would have already had another "puzzling" assassination, covered up in what has become the Occupation Government's habitual way.

9. In what follows, I am deeply indebted to Dr. Walter F. Claussen, who generously undertook research in libraries in which I no longer have the stamina to work, and who had the patience to look through the enormous and numerous volumes, consisting principally of congealed hogwash, of the *Federal Register* and its derivatives to find the text of the Executive Orders and of Congressional legislation relative to them.

purposes" of §1805 of the Internal Revenue Code. (d) authorizes him "to issue regulations prescribing the conditions under which gold may be acquired and held, transported, melted or treated, imported, exported, or earmarked for certain purposes." (f) authorizes him "to investigate, regulate, or prohibit, by means of licenses or otherwise, the acquisition, importation, exportation, or transportation of silver and of contracts or other arrangements made with respect thereto, and to require the filing of reports in connection therewith."

To give you an example of the incoherence of many Executive Orders, I remark that (e), sandwiched between (d) and (f), deals with the anchorage and movement of vessels in American ports.

This order was first amended to affect currency by Kennedy's Order 11110, 4 June 1963, of which §1 added to the first paragraph of 10289 (which had nothing to do with currency) a subparagraph (j) which authorized the Secretary of the Treasury "to issue silver certificates against any silver bullion, silver, or standard silver dollars in the Treasury not then held for redemption of any outstanding silver certificates, to prescribe the denomination of such silver certificates, and to coin standard silver dollars and subsidiary silver currency for their redemption."¹⁰

Not a word about 'greenbacks'!¹¹

Kennedy's executive order is to be understood in connection with the Public Law 88-36 of the same date, 4 June 1963, found on p. 66 of the *Congressional Record* and expounded at some length on pp. 678-686. Silver certificates for \$5.00 and \$10.00 had already been replaced by Federal Reserve notes, but certificates for \$1.00 and \$2.00, redeemable in real money, remained in circulation. The net effect of the Act of 4 June 1963 was to provide for the gradual replacement of *all* silver certificates with notes of the Federal Reserve—a replacement which, it was said, would not devalue the dollar or be inflationary.

10. §2 revoked subparagraphs (b) and (c) of Paragraph 2 of 10289.

11. And so, needless to say, it was not revoked by Johnson after the assassination made him President. Kennedy's last Executive Order 11127, 9 November 1963, concerned a strike on the Florida East Coast Railway. Johnson's first orders were 11128, giving Federal employees a holiday on Monday, 25 November; 11129, extolling Kennedy and renaming the Atlantic Missile Range in his honor; and 11130, appointing the Warren Commission.

because the Federal Reserve notes were theoretically backed by suppositious reserve of 25% gold (which no lowly American could obtain) and actually based on the Federal debt, i.e., bonds on which the taxpayers pay interest to the Federal Reserve! This law, however, still permitted the boobs to have bits of real money, half-dollars, quarters, and dimes of alloyed silver.

(At this point we must bear in mind a fundamental distinction. Real money, silver coins and certificates that such coins are on deposit in the Treasury, naturally create no public debt. The international bankers who own the Federal Reserve operate their swindle by printing Federal Reserve notes and using them to obtain interest-bearing government bonds; and the interest is then paid by more interest-bearing bonds, so that the interest is really compounded each year. And no matter how grievously the taxpaying animals are afflicted, the inevitable result of the swindle must eventually be bankruptcy of the Federal government and domestic chaos.)

Now Kennedy's Executive Order 11110, by authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to continue issuing silver certificates and minting silver coins, including silver dollars, could be construed as countering the Act of Congress of the same date, for, on its face, it certainly does not conform to the policy of gradually taking silver certificates and silver dollars from the boobs. If that was his purpose, it was certainly commendable. But we must note that the actual issuance of real money was left to the discretion of the Secretary of the Treasury, a Jew who called himself Dillon, and one cannot be certain of the intended effect of the order without a detailed knowledge of the secret tensions and intrigues within the Administration.

The final despoilment of the boobs was effected by Johnson on 22 July 1965 with the Coinage Act, Public Law 89-91 (pp. 270-275, 2299-2313), which, coated with a lot of persiflage about a need to "conserve" silver, instructed the Treasury gradually to replace the bits of real money still in the hands of the boobs with counterfeits made of copper and nickel.

That did it. That enabled the Den of Thieves in the Capitol to steal *ad libitum* from every American who owned bonds, had a pension or insurance, or any equity payable in dollars, while squandering the revenue they extorted from taxpayers to drive the

country into banruptcy so that the consortium of international bankers, Jews and their White stooges, could multiply their worthless 'greenbacks' while collecting usury for them. The American boobs were at last launched on the last stage of their toboggan slide into the ecological niche prepared for them, where taxpaying animals will be raised in pens, like their intellectual peers, thoroughly domesticated cows.¹²

Such are the facts about the fiction that credited Jackanapes with the issuance of usury-free 'greenbacks.' The contriver of the hoax was, as I have said, clever. I do not know his motive. He may have been one of the fairly numerous "right-wingers" who think that such hoaxes will enable them to attract a following and become "leaders," or who imagine that a clever hoax will call the boobs' attention to some crucial fact, such as the Federal Reserve's great swindle.¹³ They do not perceive—or perhaps do not care—what damage they do to the cause they presumably wish to further.

APPENDIX

The political situation in November 1963 may be summarized as follows. Kennedy would probably demand to be renominated by his "Democratic" Party, but would jettison Johnson, whom he disliked

12. There will be an intermediate stage in which each animal will be given a computer card, such as is now being tried out and perfected in Southeast Asia and elsewhere, which will record their serial numbers, their vital statistics, and the credit they are each week allowed for work, from which will be deducted the 'cost' of the trinkets they will be allowed to 'buy.' When it is discovered that the cards can be lost, the data will be imprinted on their skulls with radioactive particles, which will have the same function as the 'chips' in your computer.

13. One of the most audacious hoaxes was devised when the filthy mongrel called Eisenhower was President. The hoaxer printed letter-heads of a Mamie Stover Foundation, headed by the Communist Kike on the Supreme Court, Felix Frankfurter, and sent out on that letter-head form letters that solicited contributions to establish a memorial for the mulatta who was Eisenhower's mother. The hoaxer thought that a good way to call public attention to the fact that "dear old Ike" was part nigger (as well as part Jew). Although he covered his track so well that the F.B.I. could find no valid evidence against him, he very seriously embarrassed the publisher with whom he was then associated.

and perhaps hated,¹ and replace him with a less despicable candidate. In any event, it was most unlikely that Kennedy would be reelected in 1964.

Kennedy had been elected in 1960 by a very narrow margin (less than two-tenths of one percent of the popular vote) over Richard Nixon, and had owed that election to his wit, youthful appearance, and visage that many women thought handsome. He may have owed that narrow margin specifically to Nixon's blunder in engaging in debates with him over television. Kennedy's cosmeticians made him seem more youthful than he was, and his ready wit enabled him to give immediate replies, often sophistry or mere verbiage, but he had the advantage that even persons who perceived something wrong with his answer did not have time to think about it before they had to watch and listen to what followed. No one ever thought Nixon handsome, but his cosmeticians made him seem older than he really was, and the producers of the show manipulated the lighting to his disadvantage. He was a man who does not think quickly and who considers every statement before he utters it, so that he appeared hesitant and embarrassed.²

Kennedy in office quickly lost much of his narrow margin of popularity. For one thing, he was of Irish ancestry, the first president since Herbert Hoover who was not sanctified by a large admixture of

Another hoax involved quotations from a book supposedly written by a Jew, but of which no trace could be found. When the hoaxer was confronted with this fact, he defended himself by pleading that "Any stick is good enough to beat a Jew." He evidently could not understand that a stick that breaks in one's hand is not only useless, but dangerous and likely to wound the hand that wields it. To the extent that he was believed by persons on our side, he had done—unintentionally I hope—the work of an *agent provocateur*.

1. The antagonism between the two men was so notorious that some months after the assassination a wag on the staff of one of the small 'off-beat' newspapers that "intellectuals" enjoy, devised an obscenely ludicrous account of the way in which Johnson, whose sexual proclivities were well known, abused Kennedy's corpse when it was on the airplane en route to Washington.

2. At the request of some stalwart Republicans, I witnessed on television a debate between Kennedy and Nixon. When the show was over, I told my hosts, "Gentlemen, you have just lost an election."

Jewish ichor in his veins, and consequently the newspapers were not zealous in protecting his reputation. His betrayal of the anti-Castro Cubans was not outweighed by an obviously phoney 'confrontation' with the Soviets.³ His cheap grandstand ploy when he visited Berlin and made the patently absurd statement, "Ich bin ein Berliner," seemed contemptible to many. His shipment of American troops to Vietnam in preparation for another fake "war," such as the one in Korea in which so many American lives had been wasted to disgrace the United States, alarmed even persons who had no conception of the Judaeo-Communist conspiratorial drive for "One World," and he was considered responsible for the assassination of the Americans' supposed ally, Ngo Dinh Diem, which was so badly managed that it quickly became apparent that it was the work of "our" C.I.A. There was great sympathy for the widow, Madame Nhu, a very attractive and highly intelligent Oriental woman, during the twenty days that elapsed between the C.I.A.'s murder of her husband and its deletion of Jackanapes Kennedy in Dallas.⁴

Kennedy's boyish charm was evanescent. He, like all of his clan, was wealthy, but the wealth has been acquired by his father, a parvenu enriched by financing bootleggers during the Prohibition Era, and his superficially civilized manners often wore thin and revealed a "low-brow, shanty-Irish politician from Boston." His notorious

3. Khrushchev obligingly had a few rockets, or cardboard models of them, loaded on a ship for Americans to photograph from the air, but it was soon known from reconnaissance flights over Cuba that all of the ballistic missiles with nuclear warheads, which had a range of about 1800 miles, were still in place and ready for action against the United States, only ninety miles away.

4. One of the last American journalists, Westbrook Pegler, with whom I am proud to have been associated, sent a public telegram of condolence to Madame Nhu: "Please accept my sad apology for the murder of your husband and your brother-in-law by the corrupt, Pro-Communist government of the United States, probably directed by the Central Intelligence Agency. ... The President is an uncouth double-crosser and his treachery to Senator McCarthy was a betrayal comparable to the kiss of Judas. We, too, are having a revolution attended by bloodshed in the Southern States which the Kennedys' Communist henchmen fomented. Loyal American generals and others in the Pentagon may yet mount a coup and storm the White House. ... You have won many friends in the United States whose unspoken support may hearten you in this dark hour."

philandering⁵ was widely disapproved and a tape recording of his session in bed with one of his numerous females was in circulation. He seemed, at best, a lascivious playboy. Jacqueline Kennedy and Princess Rådziwill were notorious leaders of what was called the "Jet Set," among whom "[marital] faithfulness was simply not playing the game." Jacqueline's cruises on the yacht of the Onassis whom she later married aroused comment, but Americans especially disapproved of her widely reported *affaire* with her husband's brother, Robert Kennedy, known as "Bobby Sox," whom the C.I.A. deleted some years later, but not in time to save the life of the two brothers' common playgirl, an actress known as Marilyn Monroe. The régime of "beatified adultery" was freely reported in the press and gossip magazines under such headlines as "The Night Jackie Almost Lost Her Husband." Many Americans disapproved of the "Jet Set" and their morals.⁶ And, furthermore, it was reported that, despite all that fashionable permissiveness, Jack and Jackie hated each other. That gave rise to the quip that circulated in Washington immediately after the assassination: "Christmas has come early this year. Jacqueline already has her present, a Jack-in-the box." A widely circulated booklet of cartoons portrayed the Kennedy clan as avian raptors, e.g., Mrs. Kennedy was portrayed as a chicken hawk, called the "high-flying Jackie bird," whose cry was "Gimme! Gimme!"

The Kennedys' notorious 'lifestyle' alienated many Americans who had no perception of political realities.

5. I use this unfortunately polysemous word in the sense in which it is most commonly used today, i.e., as a literary allusion to Ariosto's *Orlando furioso*. The word in its less common but etymologically correct sense would imply that Kennedy was a homosexual, and that certainly was not the case. According to the then prevalent gossip, he appears to have been compulsively concupiscent, and to have been like the hero of Choderlos de Laclos's *Liaisons dangereuses*, who lost interest in a woman soon after he seduced her, but prided himself on the number of his seductions. It is doubtful, however, whether any of Kennedy's bedmates needed to be seduced.

6. For a report on the tenor of life in the White House, see the article by A. F. Canwell, "Those White House Guests," in *American Opinion*, December 1963, pp. 43-49. He distinguishes between the "Jet Set", who were wealthy, profligate, and thoughtless, and the "Rat Pack," which consisted of Communists (Jews and traitors), thieves, and degenerates who hated Americans.

Kennedy's domestic policies alarmed intelligent Americans. He sent hordes of vicious goons, dressed as Federal Marshals, into Louisiana and Arkansas to pollute American universities with niggers. He appointed his brother, Robert, Attorney General and so head of the Department of Justice, a post for which he had no qualifications, and Robert ("Bobby Sox") used his authority over J. Edgar Hoover to begin to fill the F.B.I. with thugs, many with criminal records, known as "Bobby's Boys." They were detested by the older agents, who had some pride and belief in the integrity of the F.B.I. If you asked a veteran agent with whom you were acquainted about "Bobby's Boys," he usually made a grimace of pain and disgust and replied, "Well, I'll be able to retire in another two (or three or four) years."

Kennedy's foreign policy, based on a supposed "cold war" with the Soviets, always resulted in another Communist triumph, most commonly because "Foreign Aid" (and the C.I.A.) had been used to overthrow civilized or semi-civilized governments and replace them with barbarous outposts of the Soviets' ever more formidable military machine. Americans capable of distinguishing between a politician's screen of verbiage and his acts asked the questions that were posed, the very morning of the assassination, in the advertisement of which the essential part is reprinted on pages XXX f. above.

(In 1983 there were a great many Americans who had not been narcotized by the Jews' press and schools, and who remembered what the United States had once been. Most of them have died in the almost thirty years that have passed since the assassination, and have been largely replaced by typical products of the tax-supported boob-hatcheries.)

For these various reasons Kennedy had become unpopular in many circles before the Indignation Meetings throughout the country, organized by patriotic Americans in Dallas, awakened bitter resentment at his stripping of our Air Force to supply our latest and best aircraft to the Communists in Yugoslavia.⁷

7. It is not impossible that these planes are still in service and are being used in the slaughter in Hertzogovina, Croatia, and Slovenia now in progress. Serbia is, of course, still controlled by the Communists put in power by Tito, and it is not a coincidence that their acts were endorsed publicly by the notorious Holohoaxer, Wiesenthal, and some of his fellow tribesmen.

I do not know whether moral or political considerations were paramount in the mind of the senior physician at Parkland Hospital who echoed the sentiments of many Americans when, on the morning of the assassination, he was asked whether he would go to see Kennedy parade through the streets of Dallas, and replied, by a prophetic coincidence, that he would see "that son-of-a-bitch" only if he came to the back door of the hospital (i.e., in an ambulance, as Kennedy was brought that very afternoon).

In November 1963 it seemed highly unlikely that Kennedy could devise anything to regain the popular approval he had lost, and the "Republican" faction was anticipating an almost certain victory in 1964. What was much worse, there was a rising tide of American patriotism which had to be stopped—and was stopped by the simple device of putting a bullet through Kennedy's skull.

ADDENDUM

Since the foregoing was written, the issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association* for 7 October has come to hand. The cover reproduces a portrait, drawn with mediocre skill, of a hairy hook-nosed man in an Oriental costume, sitting with his hands on his thighs. It is entitled "The Praying Jew," and a full page of the magazine is devoted to a lavish encomium of Moyshe Shagal, known as Chagall, and his wonderful paintings, usually "crowded with colorful images that obey neither the laws of space nor those of time." Chagall's incoherent parodies of art, like the daubs of his fellow Sheeny, Picasso, are collected by wealthy suckers who are devoid of an aesthetic sense.

The cover is therefore appropriate for an issue in which the Medical Association continues to certify the truth of Earl Warren's famous hoax. The editor, Dr. Charles D. Lundberg, loudly proclaims again (pp. 1736-1738) that there is no possible doubt whatever that the Warren Report is ultimate truth. (He admits, incidentally, that the autopsy on the body delivered at Bethesda disclosed no evidence of the severe and potentially fatal Addison's disease from which Kennedy was known to have been suffering, but he offers no explanation of a fact that is medically incredible.)

In the articles I discussed above, I noted that Dr. Pierre Fink, the only trained forensic pathologist present at the autopsy, had not been consulted, and that precautions had been taken to discredit his

testimony as unreliable, should he dissent. The Medical Association sent a Dr. Dennis L. Breo to Switzerland to interview Dr. Fink, who decided to sing in the chorus and was rewarded with three large photographs of his withered countenance and five pages of flattery (pp. 1748-1754), embodying his assertion that the autopsy in Bethesda confirmed the transcendental verity of the Warren Report, which proved, for all eternity, that "Lee Harvey Oswald, a political fanatic and the lone gunman" assassinated Kennedy all by his lonesome.

The disgrace of the Medical Association is somewhat alleviated by the publication (pp. 1681-1684) of letters from six alert physicians who refused to be bluffed by Dr. Lundberg and his chorus, and who pointed out fallacies and inconsistencies in the official fiction. I wish I could quote all of them, for each pointed out some damning discrepancies in the testimony in Warren's hoax, but I dare not add much to an article that is already excessively long. I can only heartily congratulate Dr. Wayne S. Smith of the School for Advanced International Studies of the Johns Hopkins University for his cogent letter, which begins by remarking, apropos of the articles in the earlier issue of the Medical Association's *Journal*, "I do not recall ever having seen so many erroneous statements in so few pages." He concludes his able critique with a fact that is conclusive in itself:

The articles note that panels of experts, basing their analysis on the autopsy photographs and roentgenograms, have consistently upheld the Warren Report. Yes, but the two naval medical technicians who took those roentgenograms and photos have now revealed (in a press conference on May 29) that the photos and roentgenograms sent to the Warren Commission and examined by all subsequent panels were not the ones they took. They are fakes! So much for the conclusions of the panels of experts and the irrefutable nature of the evidence.

And so much for frantic efforts to repair a thoroughly demolished imposture on the public! The British expert, Dr. Cyril Wecht, who made a thorough study of the the Warren Report, concluded that libraries should put the twenty-six volumes in the fiction section of their stacks, alongside *Huckleberry Finn* and *Gulliver's Travels*. I suggest that the poisonous trash should be shelved with "Hitler's Diaries" and the "Diary of Anne Frank." □

FOR MY LEGIONARIES. The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling); Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the missing pieces of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince M. Sturdza, the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES.** Order #06003, single copy \$10.00, 3 copies \$25.00, 5 copies \$35.00.

THE ANTI-HUMANS, by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented 'Pavlovian experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App) **THE ANTI-HUMANS,** Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00, 5 for \$20.00.

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

HERETICAL VERITIES: *Mathematical Themes in Physical Description*

reviewed by
Professor Ben Kriegh

The readers of *Liberty Bell* are well aware of "establishment" orthodoxy in such fields as history, sociology, economics, etc. But, they may be surprised to learn that there is a similar "establishment" orthodoxy (perhaps it should be called "politically correct thinking") in the hard sciences, particularly in Physics and to some extent in Mathematics. The ogre behind this orthodoxy in physics is the so-called theory of relativity.

The point is dramatically illustrated in a remarkable book by Thomas E. Phipps, Jr., *HERETICAL VERITIES: Mathematical Themes in Physical Description*, (Classic Nonfiction Library, Box 926, Urbana, Illinois 61801). Mr Phipps studied nuclear physics at Harvard, and worked in various research groups in the Navy Department, the Pentagon, and Department of Defense. In his book of some 630 pages of text, much of which requires of the reader a substantial background in physics and mathematics, he undertakes the rather startling task of examining in detail some of the logical and practical difficulties inherent in Einstein's special theory of relativity and then shows how those difficulties might be overcome by laying the groundwork for what he calls a "true theory of relativity."

In Mr. Phipps' words, "The purpose of this book is ... to develop alternative physics leading to confrontations with existing theory, resolvable by experiment." In particular he is referring to Einstein's special theory of relativity which he terms "the holiest of holies." In addition, he introduces a profound modification of the equations which are at the foundation of electromagnetic theory (Maxwell's equations), and suggests some new ideas in the field of mathematics, while chastising both physicists and mathematicians for their failure to reject theories which lead to illogical impasses and seek logically sound alternatives.

Mr. Phipps writes, "Increasingly, physics has come to depend on mathematics. The mathematization of physics has gone ridiculously

too far. The subject has been taken over by unfrocked mathematicians as their 'private property'. Traditionally, the fresh breeze of amateur criticism is required to expose big mistakes (the failure of theory to conform to observation). Mathematically honed experts, though bounteously provided with imagination, seem to have what in music is called a 'tin ear' for physical description. Innovative physics is a calling, not a profession. It requires a special talent—call it intuition—not among constitutionally guaranteed rights of the citizen. Nevertheless, people who lack this talent fill the literature with wonderful imaginings—their mathematical hobby horses clattering and neighing off key and out of tune, stampeding after each fad, producing such a thunderous cacophony that any real 'signal' present is sure to be drowned out and the signaler trampled beyond archaeological excavation."

While Mr. Phipps' work is too significant to be dismissed lightly by the theoretical physicist, even the nontechnical reader can grasp its significance with the aid of a little preliminary groundwork. To prepare the way, we need to review briefly the classic motivation for the theoretical physicist, a task which Mr. Phipps includes in the beginning sections of his book.

The goal of the theoretical physicist is to find mathematical equations which describe natural events as accurately as possible and substantiated by experimental observation. For example, Sir Isaac Newton found that the equation $F = kmM/r^2$ describes the gravitational force of attraction between two masses, m and M , at a distance r from each other, where k is a constant determined by experiment. Such a formula is said to describe a physical law.

However, the physicist seeks more than the mere expression of a physical law as a mathematical formula. His description of a physical law should be as "universal" as possible. That is, if the law is properly described, the description (formula) should be independent of the reference frame; i.e., it should be the same for someone on a rotating earth as it is for an astronaut in a spaceship or for someone on the moon. When a formula reflects this property, it is said to be *invariant* under a transformation from one reference frame to another. On the other hand, if a formula transforms in such a way that each of its terms is altered in the same way, the formula is said to be *covariant*. These

two concepts play an important role in Mr. Phipps' demonstration of inadequacies in Einstein's theory of relativity and in the development of his own new approach to relativity.

There are several types of reference frames. For example, one frame might be related to another by a translation, that is, a change from one reference point, or origin, to another reference point. Or, one frame might be speeding away from another (where the observer is located) with a constant velocity; or it may be accelerating or rotating relative to the observer's reference frame.

Sometimes, the formulation of a physical law is invariant under a transformation from the observer's reference frame to another which is moving with a constant velocity relative to the observer, but is not invariant under a transformation to an accelerating frame (it might be covariant, for example, or neither invariant nor covariant). When this occurs the physicist should feel that the expression of the natural law is inadequate and seek a new formulation that will be more comprehensive, that is, that will remain invariant under a wider class or group of transformations.

When Einstein put forth his special theory of relativity in 1905, it appeared to explain certain physical phenomena that Newtonian mechanics could not explain, e.g., the advance of the perihelion of Mercury. For that reason, relativity rapidly became accepted, after several years, as a great advance in physics, even though it created logical paradoxes. Furthermore, it abandoned the classical physics concept of seeking descriptions of physical laws that were invariant under transformations, with the contention that since all physical relations were relative the best that could be hoped for was that physical laws could only transform covariantly.

In his Introduction, Mr. Phipps demonstrates "the central role the invariants play in the physical description." It is therefore of primary importance to correctly identify the invariants of kinematics, for example. Because of the overwhelming importance Einstein's theory gives to covariance, it might appear that there are no invariants in relativity. But, as Mr. Phipps shows, both "proper time" intervals and "proper space" intervals are invariant in the special theory. Why, then, does the relativist not seek invariant formulation of the laws of nature?

Mr. Phipps' answer is that "manifestly invariant formulation of physical laws has never so much as crossed the mind of the special relativist ... all relativists have chosen the path of covariance. ... The reason (is that they) have incorrectly identified the invariants of kinematics. Having chosen the wrong invariants, the relativists need a compensatory mistake at the methodological level—and this is provided by the ideology of covariance." As Mr. Phipps shows, "proper time" intervals and "proper space" intervals are not suitable invariants on which to base kinematics. Instead, Mr. Phipps' development of kinematics is based on the invariance under arbitrary changes of state of relative motion of *object length* and *particle proper time*.

While some physicists questioned the validity of the assumptions of relativity because of the paradoxes which arose, they seemed to be overwhelmed, by the mathematical theoretical physicists who often tried to explain away paradoxes with arguments which often ignored the details of logic or which led to more obscure paradoxical situations.

In order to understand Mr. Phipps' criticism of relativity and his proposed remedy, we need to understand the principles which provide the basis for his ideas. To begin, he realizes that there is often a vagueness or confusion arising from poorly stated concepts or definitions and that some problems in an existing theory originate in semantics. Accordingly, he is meticulous with definitions of such terms as inertial system, covariance, invariance, field, etc. Then, as suggested by the foregoing discussion, he has stated his guiding principles. They are:

(1) *SUCCESSIVE APPROXIMATIONS*. Theoretical physical science is the mathematical description of nature which is never final or exact but is a progressive process typically achieved through a succession of ever more finely honed approximations.

(2) *FORM INVARIANCE*. The aim of fundamental physics is the discovery of mathematical relationships that rigorously preserve their forms under an ever wider class of reinterpretations of the physical meanings of their symbols.

(3) *COVERING THEORY*. A theory is sought to insure the adequacy of parametrization (the variables in terms of which the fundamental equations are stated). Whenever difficulties arise in

applying the form invariance principle, one should suspect the inadequacy of the parametrization and examine the operational procedures by which the symbols of the theory are defined and then determine, consistent with the form invariance principle, a suitable "covering theory" that yields all the results of the unsatisfactory theory from which one starts and which also yields additional results subject to observational testing.

With these principles in mind we shall see how he applies them in tackling some of the problems of the theory of relativity.

To illustrate the type of difficulties which the special theory of relativity encounters, Mr. Phipps cites the *Ehrenfest Paradox*. One of the results predicted by relativity is the so-called Lorentz contraction of a rigid rod or measuring stick moving in the direction of its length. When informed of this consequence of the theory, Paul Ehrenfest, a German physicist, posed a question (*Phys. Zeits.* 10, 918 (1909)). Consider a circular disc rotating about an axis perpendicular to the disc through its center. Each small segment of the rim of the disc constitutes an idealized rigid rod which should undergo a Lorentz contraction when set in motion. Therefore, what happens to the disc? Does the rim contract?

So fragile is the theory of relativity that, according to Mr. Phipps, at least six different "explanations" of the paradox have been offered by the physicists. Some said the disc buckles into the third dimension. Others said the geometry of the disk becomes non-Euclidian, i.e., its flat space becomes curved. (But how can a curvature of space arise in a flat space?) Still others said it cannot contract. In fact, this contention indicates that the Lorentz contraction is not as universal as claimed. Mr. Phipps says, "The Ehrenfest paradox suggests that since the Lorentz contraction of extended structures cannot occur universally, it may not occur at all." Indeed, this is one point which leads Mr. Phipps into a reevaluation of premises upon which relativity theory is based.

This illustration is but one of several which Mr. Phipps discusses in illustrating his contention that paradoxes or logical inconsistencies arising from relativity theory are usually explained away by "explanations" that give rise to other paradoxes. He asks, "Should not any theory that lives by logic be allowed to die by it?" He continues

with the observation that "The type of mind to which contemporary relativity appeals is characterized as 'ancient Greek,' a type well represented among us today, particularly in academia. The ancient Greeks were giants; they could readily have stood on each others shoulders had they had a clear inkling of the humbling idea of 'progress.' But they believed in the stroke of genius, the leap to 'truth' with minimal need to crib from observation. They had plenty of time to make it work if it were going to work. It didn't for them and it won't for us."

A second illustration of the problems which arise within the scope of Einstein's theory of relativity concerns Maxwell's equations which are the foundation of electromagnetic theory. In Mr. Phipps' words, "they are considered sacrosanct because they are an elegantly compact mathematical summation of everything about electromagnetism that had been observed in the laboratory during the previous half century..." (The equations led to the discovery of electromagnetic waves.) Unfortunately, two of the four equations proved to be noninvariant under a Galilean transformation, a troublesome fact for the relativists because this meant that the equations were valid only in a "preferred" absolute reference frame.

According to Phipps, only one physicist, Heinrich Hertz (German) realized that if Maxwell's equations were not invariant under a Galilean transformation, and if a relativity of some type was an experimental fact, then Maxwell's equations were in conflict with experimental fact and needed to be changed. Hertz then discovered the modifications needed to make Maxwell's equations invariant under a Galilean transformation and published his own version of Maxwell's theory. In fact, it was Hertz who saw that Maxwell's equations implied the existence of a wave equation, hence the physical existence of electromagnetic waves, and confirmed this prediction in the laboratory. It is one of the sad stories of science that Hertz's discovery of electromagnetic waves elevated Maxwell's equations to a position of deification in the minds of other physicists who chose to ignore Hertz's own improvements on them.

The correction to Maxwell's equations discovered by Hertz was really a simple matter of reparametrizing the equations, i.e., changing

one of the variables, a process Mr. Phipps shows in detail. He further illustrates the strange logic of the "establishment" physicists by noting, "When Maxwell's equations made definite numerical predictions that were experimentally disconfirmed, the interpretation that led to this result was discarded and the equations preserved. When Hertz made definite numerical predictions that were experimentally disconfirmed, nobody thought of preserving his equations and discarding his (ether based) interpretation that led to this result." Yet, Hertz's equations are far more significant than Maxwell's because they provide a "covering" theory that includes the valid results of Maxwell's theory while extending that theory to include invariance under Galilean transformations.

These examples are but two of several which Mr. Phipps discusses in detail. He writes, "...the terrible cost to physics that relativity's narrow channelization of the human imagination has exacted in terms of lost gains and missed opportunities for alternative development" is like "the dominance of a single species that kills off all others..." He continues, "Newton's third law the equality of action and reaction throughout the universe is one of the grandest conceptions of the human mind... It can be said that no physicist has ever gone into a laboratory and failed to confirm Newton's third law. That is, there exists not a shred of evidence against it." Yet, "The third law has long since been junked by theorists, ... because the failure of Maxwell's equations ... to exhibit Galilean invariance, and related peculiarities of the Lorentz force law, introduced into physics velocity-dependent and delayed-acting forces, which caused action-reaction force vectors to become theoretically nonparallel, thereby 'disproving' Newton's third law. ... From start to finish, nobody contemplated the alternative that Newton's third law and empiricism are still and eternally right, and that the disability of Maxwell's equations in respect to first order Galilean invariance, the shrinkage of the mechanical invariance group ushered in by special relativity, etc., are all parts of one grand unified package properly labeled DEFICIENT THEORY."

Mr. Phipps' discussion "has emphasized the sabotage of physics wrought by space-time symmetry and the Lorentz transformation, ... they have destroyed freedom of concept ... in respect to distant

simultaneity. ... In short, relativity has swept through physics like a forest fire, leaving only blackened stumps of concepts. By cutting off physics from its past, it has left it without a future. ... Can physics, as a social enterprise, ever recover from the holocaust of 1905?"

Up to this point, we have considered some of the problems inherent in Einstein's theory of relativity. Mr. Phipps does not intend to suggest that there are no valid consequences of the theory. Instead, he is suggesting that the theory is not well founded on observational concepts. Furthermore, he is not content with merely pointing out flaws in the theory; he offers a viable alternative which we shall now examine briefly.

In accordance with his stated guiding principles, Mr. Phipps suspects that the paradoxes of relativity and the failure of Maxwell's equations to be invariant under Galilean transformations indicates deficiencies in the foundational concepts of the theory. He therefore goes back to examine the principles on which relativity is founded, with the intention of investigating their logical consistency, uniqueness (are there other possible alternatives?) and the parametrization of its equations. The consequences of his investigation are truly surprising.

In order to convey the significance of his ideas, we shall have to use a little mathematical symbolism, primarily to provide a basis for a "visual" comparison of his ideas with those of relativity theory. In Mr. Phipps' words, "Let us review the situation with respect to Einstein's theory. Because of the overweening significance it accords to covariance, one might suppose that theory contains no invariants. Not so. Both timelike ("proper time" interval), dT , and spacelike ("proper space" interval), dS , invariants are present in the special theory. Given this information the attentive reader ... will ask at once why the relativist does not seek invariant formulations of the laws of nature, i.e., expressions having the functional form $F(dT, dS) = 0$."

The mathematical expression for the Einsteinian invariants are, for the "proper time" interval

$$(dT)^2 = (dt)^2 - \{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2 + (dz)^2\}/c^2$$

and for the "proper space" interval,

$$(dS)^2 = (dx)^2 + (dy)^2 + (dz)^2 - c^2(dt)^2.$$

(The quantities appearing in these equations designate "infinitesimal differences" or differentials between the space time coordinates of two points on the worldline (trajectory) of a single particle.)

Mr. Phipps proceeds with the observation that "The central problem of kinematics overlooked by Einstein and his followers is how to transfer the metric standard ... from S (a Galilean inertial system) to S' (a Galilean inertial system in motion relative to S) while maintaining its integrity as a metric standard. ... He (Einstein) missed a tempo through deriving coordinate transformation equations *before* specifying a means of calibrating coordinate axes in relative motion. ... The resulting motion group omitted the acceleration essential for intersystem transfer of material metric standards ... an omission fatal to both logic and physics."

(As far as I can ascertain, Mr. Phipps gives the first kinematic definition of "inertial system" as "any material collective all constituent parts of which share the same state of motion and in undergoing any changes of state do so at equal proper times." For example, a train speeding down a straight track would represent an "inertial system," but a rotating disc would not. He then defines a Galilean inertial system as "any closed (inertial) system in which Newton's mechanical equations are valid to first order in all velocity dimensional parameters." These concepts have an important bearing on the consequences of Mr. Phipps' version of relativity.)

Mr. Phipps continues with an extensive argument to show that observational evidence supports the physical invariance of dT but not dS . His analysis leads to the conclusion that *object length* must be an invariant under arbitrary changes of state of relative motion. This surprising result is a significant departure from Einsteinian relativity which predicts the contraction of length in the direction of motion. He says, "The choice of objects rather than events as the basic descriptive elements of kinematics is no accidental feature, but will turn out to be perhaps our most profound departure from the Einstein world formulation." It is significant that in Phipps' system, the Ehrenfest paradox disappears.

Thus, as a result of his deliberations, Mr. Phipps postulates, "the invariants of kinematics for arbitrary physically permissible relative

motions are *object length* and *particle proper time*." The mathematical expressions are, for object length,

$$(dL)^2 = (dx)^2 + (dy)^2 + (dz)^2,$$

and for particle proper time,

$$(dT)^2 = (dt)^2 - \{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2 + (dz)^2\}/c^2$$

where d denotes the differential of a quantity delimited by points lying on two separate particle trajectories. Note that care must be taken to distinguish between "the length of an object" and "the distance between events." For example, one of Mr. Phipps' theorems is "The length or distance of separation between two events is invariant under inertial transformations if and only if the events are simultaneous."

Relative simultaneity is an important part of Einsteinian relativity, so naturally it comes under the scrutiny of Mr. Phipps. He says, "Having discarded the metric half of Einstein's kinematics, we must reappraise all his deductions" including "his most famous qualitative perception, the relativity of simultaneity. ... According to this perception as quantified by the equations of the Lorentz transformation, the synchronization of distant clocks cannot be so defined as to be an invariant property for all inertial observers. Such a sweeping claim of impotence invites refutation by counterexample, of which only one need be given." And give a counterexample he does, by what he calls the V*transport method (which is too elaborate to be detailed here). In so doing, he gives meaning to "distant simultaneity of events" a concept not realizable in Einsteinian theory.

Einstein rejected all environmental effects on the propagation of light in a vacuum, a simplistic view which leads to complexities in the treatment of matter (notably, the Lorentz contraction). Mr. Phipps takes the opposite view, postulating simple matter (length invariance) and complicated light (environmentally influenced). The two theoretical approaches, he contends, submit to crucial experimental testing which, in the final analysis, determines the viability of any theory. As a result of this approach, Mr. Phipps shows that *the speed of light is not always constant!* He calls this "the most important single theoretical result" in his book. (Recall that the cornerstone of Einsteinian relativity is the assumed constancy of the speed of light.)

One other significant consequence of Mr. Phipps' theory should be mentioned. It concerns the "twin paradox" of Einsteinian theory. Suppose a stationary observer on earth has a twin who boards a spaceship and travels away from earth at nearly the speed of light and then returns after an earth time lapse of, say, twenty years. Einsteinian relativity predicts that because a clock going with the "travelling twin" slows down, he will not age as fast as the "stay at home" twin. But, since relative motion is considered symmetrical, the "travelling twin" might be considered stationary while the "stay at home" twin, along with the earth and the solar system, is travelling away at great speed. In that case, the "stay at home" twin should "return" younger than his "travelling" counterpart. So, which is it to be?

Now it has been confirmed by various laboratory experiments that a group of radioactive mesons moving in a circular orbit at high speed decay much more slowly than a group maintained "at rest." That is, the stationary group decays 29 times faster than the moving group. This phenomenon indicates that the slowing of a clock in motion relative to a stationary one must be an experimental fact. (This result apparently has been confirmed by flying a clock in a jet for a length of time and finding that it actually does slow down.) Hence Einstein's prediction is confirmed. Yet the theory must be deficient since it does not explain away the symmetrical relationship between the relatively moving systems. The deficiency appears to be in the theory's failure to properly define "inertial systems."

Within the context of his definition of "inertial system," and as a consequence of his definition of "distant simultaneity" Mr. Phipps concludes that all "*genuinely inertial clocks go at the same rate.*" Hence, if the "traveling" twin is in an inertial system, his clock will be going at the same rate as that of the "stay at home" twin and when he returns home, he will be at the same age as his "stay at home" counterpart. But, does this conclusion contradict the experimental evidence? No, because, as Mr. Phipps concludes, *noninertial* clocks go slower than inertial clocks, a fact supported by the meson experiment, and one which relativists have failed to

identify because they failed to distinguish between inertial and noninertial systems. (Refer back to Mr. Phipps' definition of "inertial frame".) It turns out that a reference frame associated with a rotating (or orbiting) object is not an inertial frame. Thus, if the "traveling" twin could journey to a distant star and return without acceleration, his age would remain the same as that of his "stay at home" twin. (From the practical point of view, one can orbit the earth at only a fixed speed far less than that of light, so we would not be able to detect a difference in aging between astronauts and earthbounders.)

A substantial portion of Mr. Phipps' book is devoted to the development of the mathematical consequences of his basic ideas. There are detailed discussions of experiments on which he relies to support his thesis, which amounts to a new approach to the theory of relativity, one which is not plagued by the logical difficulties inherent in Einsteinian relativity. Moreover, Mr. Phipps' theory is a "covering theory" of relativity in the sense that valid consequences of Einsteinian relativity are preserved in the new approach.

There are several chapters devoted to the development of some original ideas in mathematics which may prove to be useful in extending the theoretical results further. However, there are certain points in his mathematical discussion which need clarification, if unfortunate misunderstandings are to be avoided.

It is an unfortunate fact of life that the community of physicists, for the most part, are so taken with Einsteinian relativity that they do not appear interested in exploring new approaches. It is clear that Mr. Phipps has encountered great difficulty in having his case heard. Nevertheless, in the final analysis, the test of any theory is how well it conforms to experimental fact as well as to logic. In that sense, Mr. Phipps' theory seems far more coherent than Einstein's and, in time, I believe Mr. Phipps will be vindicated. "I love it!" □

"The Mountain Has Fallen..."

by Winston Smith

On August 16th, 1992, a giant departed from among us when Robert Miles died at the age of 67, three months to the day after the death of his beloved wife, Dorothy. The gap which he has left in our ranks will not easily be filled.

For over forty years, Bob Miles played a leading role in the White resistance movement in North America, his courage and vision earning him worldwide renown among every friend and foe alike of Aryan man. Bob endured repeated assault; a life of grim poverty and unremitting hardship; six years of false imprisonment on perjured testimony in the worst hellhole of America's prison system as well as a disgraceful attempt in 1987 to imprison him yet again on bogus sedition charges; decades of spying and harassment; the imprisonment and murder of friends and family members; and an avalanche of media abuse and defamation without parallel in the annals of gutter journalism.

They never broke him. Bob Miles met and overcame every attack, every ordeal which this evil regime inflicted on him, and he did so with a calm courage, a quiet dignity, and an irrepressible charm and humor which, more than anything else Bob did or said or wrote, drove the Jews and their lick-spittle lackeys in the United States government to enraged distraction.

Bob Miles clearly understood something which many in our movement have lost sight of, which is that *death is no big deal*. It is an inevitable fact of existence, to be accepted philosophically and met with dignity when the time comes. What matters is how one *lives*, what one leaves behind in the way of accumulated knowledge, experience, and moral example. For all of us today and for comrades of the future, role models don't come any stronger or more admirable than Bob

Miles. More than any White racial nationalist patriot in contemporary times, Bob *lived* his simple, powerful philosophy, which he sometimes referred to by the Irish Gaelic name of *Sinn Fein*, "Ourselves Alone." To Bob it was all very straightforward. Aryan man is the pinnacle of God's creation; we don't *need* anything which any other race or culture can offer us in exchange for admixture. All that is necessary to preserve our race and ensure a future for our seed among the stars is a simple recognition of who we are, and the spiritual willpower to "just say no" to every poisoned chalice, every rotten sweetmeat of which the Jew urges us to partake.

Bob understood the one basic principle which holds the key to our entire struggle, yet which seems so incredibly difficult for many of us to grasp; which, indeed, some of us never succeed in grasping. *The Jews are not the problem*, nor are the blacks or the Hispanics or the politicians or the international bankers or the Communists or any other grouping of our racial adversaries. *WE are the problem*. Our weakness, our laziness, our profound moral cowardice, our craven unwillingness to place our physical bodies and our creature comforts at risk, as Bob himself did without fear or hesitation. When we look in a mirror, there we see our enemy. But if we look hard enough, we can see Bob Miles standing behind us, a smile on his face and his hand on our shoulder to guide and uplift and strengthen us, as ever he did when he was with us here in life.

In ancient Celtic times, when a High King of Tara died, messengers were despatched in swift chariots riding the length and breadth of all Ireland, from Antrim in the north to Kerry in the southwest. At each village and crossroads and castle they came to, these couriers cried out, "*The Mountain has fallen!*" Our mountain has fallen, but his spirit lives on, and it is strong.

RE: Further Update on North Idaho; A Peep Through the Keyhole of the New World Order.

LETTERS to the EDITOR

Dear Editor:

Having followed Bo Gritz's and Jack McLamb's respective accounts about the murderous atrocities committed on the Weaver family, I have another report for your readers. Bo Gritz announced that an eyewitness came forward and gave him a sworn affidavit which confirmed Weaver's statement about what happened. Gritz is going to have the witness take a polygraph exam.

The 14-year-old boy, Samuel, was shot in the arm. The shot spun him around screaming and crying in pain as he started running back toward the cabin. The Marshals then shot him in the back with automatic weapons fire. The boy was hit FOUR times in the back! He fell dead along with his dog, also shot in the back. Only machine-gun fire could hit the boy in the back four times before he fell dead.

The next afternoon, both Weaver and Harris were wounded by sniper fire after going out to a shed to pray over the boy's body. As they scrambled through the door of the cabin, a government sniper shot Vicki Weaver between the eyes from 50 feet away! The Marshals have even admitted this. It was a non-white American who saw every feature on her face when he pulled the trigger of a .308 sniper rifle, probably even seeing the baby in her arms. The 10 and 16 year old girls watched this happen. This was the girls' testimony. The shot blew Vicki's brain out. The girls dressed their mother's body with herbs and placed it under the kitchen table where she laid for the next 10 days. The family didn't have enough water to cleanse her body for burial.

The new eyewitness stated that he saw Marshal Deagan shot twice from behind and then shot in the back of the head.

Sarah, the 16 year old girl, gave a statement to the Spokane papers. I quote her: "They'd come on real late at night and say, 'Mrs. Weaver, how's the baby, Mrs. Weaver?' "Also, 'Good morning Randall. How'd you sleep? We're having pancakes. What are you having?'" The Marshals did this knowing that Vicki was dead and laying inside the cabin with the children. They even named the military compound "Camp Vicki." All this after the government knew Vicki's head was blown off and her body was still inside the cabin with the children. They used loudspeakers late at night to torture them psychologically.

This means that THE MARSHALS AND GOVERNMENT AGENTS DELIBERATELY TORMENTED THE CHILDREN WITH

THEIR DEAD MOTHER'S BODY!

Have you ever heard of such a cruel, inhumane, and disgusting act as this? They taunted the family whose mother laid dead under the kitchen table, in full view of the children, for 10 days with a 10 month old baby girl to feed, without her mother.

If this isn't tyranny, what is? The Weaver family has no recourse against the monsters who murdered these two innocents. Have you seen the pictures of those thugs who pointed their machine-guns at Bo Gritz's back? Beasts. How would you react if you saw your mother's brains blown out?

Now the U.S. Attorney has indicted the 10 month old baby and the two girls for aiding and abetting murder. They have literally charged a 10 month old baby girl with murder! Is this insanity or what? Do I detect the hand of Judah behind this insane fury of frenzied hatred? Could this happen in America?

It is tyranny, nothing else. Absolute tyranny. What does the Declaration of Independence and the underpinnings of the Constitution say about tyranny? It is our *duty*, and now it is time.

The shot that murdered Vicki Weaver is the opening round of what you know must happen. Are *you* ready?

Publicus Prudentis

Dear Landsmann:

I don't know if the media has been carrying the news nationwide, so I'll mention a bit of background. Last month a white cop shot and killed a Dominican druggie during a violent struggle. Naturally this was used as an excuse for another riot by colored vermin. The black racist mayor of Jew York rushed to the scene to commiserate with the family of the druggie and to promise them that the guilty policeman would be punished just the way Bush promised that the four cops who beat Rodney King would be punished, no matter what local courts found. Unlike Bush, Dinkins could not overrule the Grand Jury which found the policeman innocent of all charges of murder, but he could and did use city money, stolen from whites via taxation, to fly the druggie back to Dominica and to fly his family there (and back) for his funeral. The NYC cops, smarting under Dinkins black racist badmouthing, then held an off duty demonstration at City Hall where some cops had a few beers and got a little noisy and rowdy. A minor affair, but the Jewsmmedia were aghast! Some 10,000 cops, mostly white, had dared to protest a black racist media campaign! After a period of shock that white pigs would dare assert themselves, the Jewsmmedia pulled themselves together to start their usual hate campaign and demand the policemen be punished for their temerity. People here have polarized along racial lines. Coloreds support

Dinkins while whites generally support the cops.

There is a lot of talk about how blacks are the main victims of black crime. This is true, but it doesn't stop blacks and other coloreds from nearly unanimous support of colored criminals. To coloreds, law and civilization are alien things imposed upon them by white police, and revulsion against these things is universal.

In this case, investigation proved that colored witnesses deliberately lied about the killing hoping to spark a riot so they could do their drugs freely in a "liberated" area. This is mayor Dinkins constituency and he is acting in accordance with their desire when he promises to punish white cops, make the police force majority colored, and set up a civilian review board staffed by liberals and colored racists to further cripple such law enforcement as remains in NYC.

White police, however, have found a deadly way to strike back. The NYC government exists mainly to collect revenue for Jewish usurers. One of the ways they get it is through use of fines to rob people. Out-of-towners may not know it, but simply parking in the wrong spot can cost them a \$200 fine. Police steal your car and hold it for ransom. To get back at Dinkins, police have slowed down or stopped issuing summons. This will cut into the Jews' income stream and thus should produce results in short order.

Another famous incident in this area is the Amy Fisher case. Amy is an under-age Jewish prostitute who used her sex to exploit various men and make them do what she wanted. She was supposedly having an affair with a white man and decided to kill his wife because she was in her way. After trying to get various men to do her dirty work, she shot the wife in the face. The wife was crippled but didn't die and Amy was arrested and charged with attempted murder. Now I don't know what the facts are of this case except that Amy is proven to have done the shooting. I can, however, pretty well predict the outcome of the case. Amy is a member of three over-privileged minorities: she is Jewish, female, and a minor. The husband of the injured woman is a white man, a member of the lowest class of Americans. His wife is a white woman, almost as low in status. It can thus be taken for granted that the Jew/Liberal (in)justice system and the Jewsmmedia will try to get Amy off and punish the white husband and wife.

I hate the murdering thief, Bush, with a passion but the vile Clinton and his evil, Jewish wife is no improvement. The one candidate who stands out since the attempted murder of Randy Weaver in Idaho is "Bo" Gritz. It is clearly the intervention by Gritz that prevented the murder of Weaver and his whole family by Federal marshals. Gritz's action in arriving on the scene and facing the Federal murder gang shows that his medals in Vietnam

were no fluke. This is virtually the first time I can remember that any politician stood up to the Feds while they were making an "example" of a white daring to resist Jewish policies. As in NYC, the white public in the area actually dared to protest rather than taking their beating quietly as usual. But too many whites seem to be happy that Weaver was just arrested rather than murdered like his wife and child. The Feds plan to send Weaver to jail for life and his under-age children too! Not only should Weaver and the others attacked by the Federal murder gang be released with apologies, they should be awarded millions in compensation and the seven marshals and the officials who sent them should be tried for murder. If Randy Weaver and his friends and family are sent to jail and the marshals who murdered his wife and child are not punished, whites should riot in every city and town in America. What! Are blacks to be allowed to burn down entire cities in racist temper tantrums while whites suffer oppression and murder in silence?

The Jewsmidia have been denouncing the NYC police demonstration for blocking traffic, and rowdiness. What they should have done was burn Gracie Mansion to the ground and tar and feather the racist Dinkins and his moronic staff. That is the sort of things colored mobs have been doing with impunity. Don't white have equal rights?

PS: The unspeakable Jew, Congress thief Weiss, died last week of a "heart attack." Every faggot on the lower east and west sides of Manhattan was in tears over him. And well they should be! AIDS has probably spread to most of them. Weiss was the author of the NYC "Gun Control" law that disarmed the public and turned NYC over to armed criminals. He was also the creator of the idea of a "Civilian Review Board" which seems to have been designed to cripple the police so criminals could operate more freely. This was necessary so that the colored morons could steal enough money to buy drugs which guess who was selling them.

Sincerely

S.R., New York State

Dear Mr. Dietz:

In the July issue of *Liberty Bell* there is an article by G.S. from New Mexico. I'm p....d off again at some jerk writing in *Liberty Bell* trying to tell me not to listen to rock-n-roll music. Let me tell you G.S., rock-n-roll is not a "monstrous music fad," it's been around since the fifties and will probably continue well into the next century.

At first, rock-n-roll was nigger music until Elvis came along and made it popular with White people. Since then, the niggers have been all but driven out of rock-n-roll, with a couple of exceptions. Whether you like it or not, G.S., rock is now White man's music.

Now, G.S., you think rock music fills my head "with Satanism and individualism." Well, if Satanism is against Christianity, then I must be satanic. I have absolute total contempt for Christians and Christianity. If listening to rock-n-roll helps destroy Christianity, then I'm turning my stereo up! You're right about individualism. I am a rare individual, a National Socialist in the heart of Nigger land. If I wasn't an individual thinker, I wouldn't have been able to take the pressure of parents, preachers, teachers, and the jewsmidia to think and act like the rest of the herd. I wouldn't be racially aware and I wouldn't be reading *Liberty Bell*. I'm enough of an individual to pick and choose which rock bands I want to listen to and which ones I don't want to listen to.

When I listen to Metallica or Van Halen or Bad Company I get fired up! The music makes me feel good. When I go to a rock concert I see a couple of niggers or gooks and thousands of White people. Nice percentage.

I thought by waiting a month before writing this letter my anger at G.S. and other anti-rockers would have subsided; it hasn't. So what do you dig, G.S., Card W? Is Charlie Pride your favorite musician? Maybe Liberachi is more your type? Perhaps the big band sound of Benny Goodman is your preference? How about Bach or Beethoven performed by the New York Philharmonic, conducted by Leonard Bernstein? So, you anti-rockers, get the point I'm trying to make? Nothing is black or white, bad or good, in the music industry today. If it was up to me, I would outlaw jazz, disco, and rap. This is the most un-White music yet recorded. I am not saying that rock-n-roll is all good, but it's not all bad either. There are many tunes that get airplay that are pro-White or anti-government, just listen to the lyrics. I'm sure a Skin reader could send in lyrics from Skrewdriver, No Remorse, Bound for Glory, Haken Kreuz, or the Mid-Town Boot Boys that would silence the pens of these anti-rockers (I don't have any tunes from these groups). So, all of you old people that don't like rock-n-roll, don't listen to it. But don't tell me to get off my rock!

Sieg Hell!

W.J.C., Connecticut

Gentlemen:

Greetings...I am writing this letter for I am in dire need of your help. I am currently confined in Z.O.G.'s military prison. I have been in this particular prison for two and a half years. During this time I have seen 1500 people come and go. Yet even so, there is one thing incessantly common amongst the many young Aryans which arrive here. ZOG has finally imbedded a message of unity and

equality into the minds of these impressionable young men. The guilt complex instilled upon them since childhood is reenforced here.

The establishment here offers some type of program to every minority imaginable. All that is except the most deserving "minority" of all—the vanishing White Man! Whites here had to take this discriminating institution to court in the hopes of being allotted the same privileges given to the "oppressed" minorities. Of course, the government sided with itself.

That is why we're asking the help of your organization. If the government won't help us in being proud of our heritage, then we need to find others, such as yourself, that will. Unfortunately, soldiers sentenced to confinement here are stripped of all "pay and allowances," thus leaving us devoid of money. The need to educate these young Aryans, as well as older Aryans, still exists however; and if anything, it becomes more urgent with each passing year.

Some of the men coming to us are unaware of basic facts such as the usurious "Federal Reserve System", and the "Kosher Food Racket".

We're currently receiving reading materials from contributors like the Noontide Press and Church of the Creator. We fully understand our undesirable position, and do not wish to become too burdensome to any one of the fine, patriotic groups which assist in our education by giving us literature. Obviously, it is not the want of the White man to ask for a "handout". It is better, however, to ask for help than to leave a potential source of information left untapped.

Please help us in our quest for complete racial awareness by sending any publications you can—imperfect copies, damaged materials, or just plain overstocks—the condition does not matter. Racial awareness does!

Our thirst for this knowledge of the plots and plagues facing the beautiful White Race today is unquenchable. I assure you, sir, that any and *all* materials you are able to send to us will be readily absorbed by many. With this knowledge we will become better prepared for the upcoming troubles ahead.

Sincerely,
Shannon G. Michael, Box #75242
Drawer 'A', Ft. Leavenworth KS 66027-7140

Dear Mr. Dietz

It seems to me that the tables can easily be turned on those who enjoy talking about "Human Rights." My suggestion is that Whites begin to vigorously advance the concept of *Human Rights Number One* (the most basic of all human rights)—The right to live in a society

52 — *Liberty Bell* / October 1992

comprised of, and determined by, ONE'S OWN PEOPLE.

E.H., Washington DC

Dear George:

Having finished my first reading of the September *Liberty Bell*—as always, it was excellent—I feel compelled to offer this response to a fellow L.B. reader's not-so-prudent remarks concerning the Christian Identity faith.

In one of his two September letters to the editor, Public Prudentis referred to Identity as "a creeping cancer" and labeled the religion's adherents "ignorant" white "dupes." Now I myself am not a believer in the Identity doctrine, nor am I a Christian of any sort or variety, but I am nonetheless offended when any of my Brothers are publicly insulted. To refer to Identity Christians as "dupes" is to insult the majority of the best leaders and soldiers our race has produced in the last twenty years. If one feels the need to intellectually oppose a religion then so be it. But when the religion being argued is the religion of such stout-hearted men as Robert Matthews, Gordon and Yorie Kahl, Randy Weaver, Ernst Zündel, Robert Miles, William Potter Gale, Richard Butler, and countless others, I believe the argument should be put forth with due respect.

Furthermore, whether we like it or not, the White militia needed to fight when at last the storm breaks will be made up largely of Identity Christian patriots. We are hardly in a position where we can afford to risk any further factionalism within the White Right. If a White man is in favor of an all-White homeland, a Folkstate, and is willing to sacrifice all for the folkstate, and conducts himself in an honorable fashion, then that man is my Brother no matter how much we may differ in other areas.

As responsible Aryans we would be wise, to avoid further dissension, by adopting a policy of respectful speech when addressing or referring to fellow Kindred regardless of how strongly we may feel concerning their personal beliefs. To do otherwise would make honest and productive interaction impossible.

PS: I am glad to inform you that I will soon be economically able to pull my own weight. I am now receiving a donated subscription which provides me with much high quality food for thought. Being in prison, I cannot reach the type of funds I would like to contribute. Please accept \$10 bimonthly starting later this month as my subscription dues.

For Race & Nation
J.B., Bellefonte, PA

Liberty Bell / October 1992 — 53

Racial Greetings,

I am a White, racially conscious female. I read about your organization in *Now the Truth* and it said to write to you for a catalogue of books, etc. I would appreciate it if you could send me one.

If there is anyone in your organization or anyone you know who would like to correspond with a White Power Chelsea, please forward my address to them.

White & Proud
C.L.W., British Columbia

Dear Landsmann:

I was disturbed to see the violent letter and accusations against "Bo" Gritz in the recent *Liberty Bell* [see *Liberty Bell*, September, page 37]. So what if Gritz pinned on a marshal's badge and took a deputizing oath? He previously took the oath of a commissioned officer in the US Army, which is a much more serious matter. Neither the Army nor the Marshals Service are inherently evil. It depends on what use they are put to and how their members behave.

I am not among those who are thrilled by the surrender of Weaver and his family, but what alternative was there? The normal practice of the Feds in these sieges is to burn the building and kill everyone inside, especially if one of the police has been killed. This is what was done at Whitbey Island, Annendale, and, to be fair, at the siege of the Symbionese Liberation gang, and the Philadelphia Move cult. This is what would have been done at the Weaver house as well had not Gritz intervened. No other candidate even mentioned the tragedy; Gritz took a big chance appearing at the scene because the Feds are looking for the opportunity to kill him too, and the confusion and gun fire at the site provided a good chance to do so. The only thing that prevented the planned murder of Weaver and the rest of his family was the glare of publicity upon the planned crime and that is what Gritz's intervention provided. Thus, I can hardly see his action in getting Weaver to surrender as anything but defusing the confrontation.

The surrender of Weaver and Harris is a victory in that their planned murders were aborted. The Jew flunky government clearly intends to jail them for the rest of their lives. There are only two ways this can be prevented. First by having enough political, financial and military power to force the government to back down. This is no option. Whites have no power in this Jew owned country whatever. We are lower than pig tracks. All financial and political power is in the hands of the Jew. There are not even 200 Whites in this whole country willing to fight government racism with arms. Whites even tremble at defending themselves from nigger mobs! Thus, the only chance for Weaver and Harris is to bring a glare of publicity on the frame-up trial

54 — *Liberty Bell* / October 1992

the Feds are planning. Naturally, the Jewsmidia will black out the trial the same way they are blacking out Bo Gritz's candidacy, so it remains to be seen if the feeble White nationalist movement can muster enough outrage to bypass the media monopoly and make the Feds' crime a national issue. This wasn't done in the trial of Gordon Kahl's son and his friends, who got 120 years in prison merely for being targets when the Feds opened fire at a police roadblock.

The things the government is doing are outrageous by any standard of law or justice. The Jew control over their American cattle depends on their keeping hidden and not openly oppressing their victims enough to stir up real hostility.

If the pathetic white nationalist movement can reach enough of the public with the facts of this outrageous government action, the Zionist Occupation Government will have to back down and let the Weavers and Harris go. We will see if this can be done, and this should be our agenda for the immediate future.

As for horror and the warriors of our race, non-stop hate propaganda has turned them against their own race and people. In WW II Americans had no trouble with murdering SS prisoners, civilians and POWs after the war. They were just told such people were evil and must be destroyed. A whole bunch of imaginary crimes were concocted and blamed on the designated victims to stir hatred against them. You saw it again in the Gulf War where Americans massacred fleeing Iraklis on the roads, and buried alive thousands of Iraklis trying to surrender. They then went home with a clear conscience and the public received them with cheers!

The American people accepted that Hussein was evil to take over his lost province of Kuwait but that Bush was virtuous in invading Panama! Such nonsense simply strengthens the conclusion that average people have no critical faculties and that we Americans lost control of our country when we permitted alien Jews to come in and steal our country and buy up its media. Now we are paying for our weakness and foolishness, and the cost will mount constantly until there is a change. Right now the main change we can make is to embarrass the Jew government by spreading the truth about their murder plot against Randy Weaver and keeping them from railroading him and Harris into prison for life.

Sincerely, S.R., New York State

Dear George:

I feel compelled to respond to the letter that appeared in the September issue of *Liberty Bell* over the name of "Publicus Prudentis" which was highly critical of Bo Gritz for methods he allegedly used in saving lives of the surviving members of the Randy

Liberty Bell / October 1992 — 55

Weaver household in Idaho. Either Mr. Prudent has a very fertile imagination or is, himself, a highly placed federal functionary. How else could he have been in a position to witness behavior that others closest to the scene were unable to see?

Because I had friends near the Weaver siege line throughout the entire affair I was able to receive first hand reports from the area on a regular basis. My friends, Identity Christians who were also acquainted with the Weavers, had nothing but praise for the way in which Bo Gritz and Jack McLamb defused the murderous situation. I can agree with Mr. Prudent to the extent that sooner or later many of us may be forced to face our maker for unpopular beliefs but, hopefully, the issues will be clear and the women and children will be well to the rear. Dying as glorious Aryan warriors for a worthwhile cause is one thing, but to expect or, indeed, allow wounded men, teen-age girls and a nursing baby to be murdered by a hyped up mob of brain-washed law enforcement officers for no good reason is quite another.

I don't know who is representing himself as Mr. Prudent but his message is one I hear all too often: This or that White patriot is a liar, a crook, an opportunist, a profiteer, a traitor, a con man, a CIA agent, a spy or, if nothing else, just a plain old son of a bitch. To all such destructive criticism I say, "Damn the torpedoes, full speed ahead." We must stop giving aid and comfort to the enemy by publicly criticizing our own, would-be leadership and by spreading damaging rumor and innuendo among ourselves.

Whatever else may be his faults, Bo Gritz is making a valuable contribution to the cause by reaching thousands of people with a message that needs to be told. If and when we ever get our own act together *then* will be the time to take care of crooks, opportunists and traitors, if indeed they do exist. But for now, we must seek reconciliation and solidarity among ourselves. We must adopt the rule, if we can't say something good about another White man, let's not say anything at all. We *must* stop fighting each other and concentrate on fighting an enemy who demonstrates daily that he is one hell of a lot smarter, better organized and more determined than we are.

For a lesson on how to do it, I strongly urge everyone to read Ivor Benson's book, *The Zionist Factor*. In it he describes a group of people who have their own racial religion; do not air their dirty laundry in public; train, try, educate and discipline their own, and generally set themselves apart from the rest of humanity. We could learn a few lessons from a people who place success above everything else in life. Unless we succeed in learning how to work together, we will die as a race. If we die as a race, then civilization will die with us.

Sincerely, J.M., West Virginia

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**