

### Is there any factual or believable evidence of flat Earth being real, or is it all just denying science and only listening to evidence that supports your "theory"?



**Michael Brenner**

Studied Mechanical Engineering & Comparative Linguistics at Vienna University of Technology · Updated May 27

There is no part of evidence that is not supportive of what it makes evident, that's why it's called evidence. What globe believers present as evidence is at best "first glance plausibility" which collapses under the pressure of a second and third glance, or it is right out demagogic manipulation.


Under the question here on Quora "Is the Earth flat? Do you have evidence tha it is or isn't" Karen Remick claims as evidence that 24h light in Alaska could not happen on a flat earth? ..... and may I ask why not?

M.Dennett claims as evidence against a flat earth that going dead West on a flat earth will end you in Antarctica - which is is of course grande BS, because West means perpendicular to meridians and that gets you around in a circle on the latitude you started out on, NOT on a tangent, on a globe as well as on a flat plane.


T. Hehl tops it all with the disingenuous claim that my image of a sandy beach visible over 25 km while I have my feet in the water - i.e. no elevation - proves globe earth, emphasising this BS claim with a condescending snort - and repeating it at the end of each and every of his comment, no matter the topic, wherefore I had to disinvite him from my space.

That is the kind of "evidence presentation" one is up against when it comes to the shape of earth, so here one more evidence that flat out disproves the globe theory simply by being factual:





Here's the Rampion Windfarm off of Worthington, UK, with the distances to the shore and the tripod mount of the camera taking the shots: eyepiece elevation 1m for those who want to pluck the numbers into an online curvature calculator.





Upvote · 5    422    3




Here is what the globe model predicts for the 6 windmills lined up in a row along 5.9km starting at 13km out:






So here's the principle "globe science" uses to make predictions:



... and here's the geometry curvature calculators incorporating the viewer's elevation use to make predictions:




Now let's see what the "factual evidence" tells us:




Absolutely zero part of the structures is lost to curvature, even the reflection of the landing platform in the water immediately surrounding the contact of structure and water is visible.

Now you can decide what should be done with a theory that makes demonstrably wrong predictions.

The theory of a flat plane optically following the rules of perspective makes the right prediction:




Another example of failed prediction: this is what the globe theory predicts would be visible from the coast of Italy seen from across the Adriatic:




... and this is what is actually visible:



But this is not denying science, this is denying visual evidence: denying science is this: we know that freely rotating objects which can dissipate energy by way of a liquid or semi liquid interior orient themselves - that is, their rotational axis - along a maximum momentum/minimum energy axis, which in case of a rotating earth would mean a rotational axis going through the Pacific with the continents lined up along the equator - which is obviously not the case:




Also science tells us that in a centrifuge the heaviest elements tend to end up at the periphery not in the center, so the iron core at the center of a rotating earth is not scientific, it's a fudge factor to account for the discrepancy of measured density and speculated density. Gravity is not an argument here, because in the center of a sphere the "Magnitude of the Gravitational Force" is Zero:



Bottomline: there is no support for a globe theory, neither visual nor theoretical!


PS.: there are incorrect predictions, but there are also correct predictions made for the wrong model: here a daylight map showing 95% of humanity receiving daylight or twilight,



... something that has been picked up by media as a cool thing to talk about:



... it's just that this doesn't work on a globe: try it out for yourself and see if you can illuminate your globe at home to the degree shown in the timeanddate map: if you put California on the terminator line,



.....than it runs on the opposite side east of Madagascar and NOT east of China:



So the observed data which are represented in timeanddate do not fit the globe, but they fit the flat earth model perfectly:



1.2K views · View 5 upvotes · View 3 shares

1 of 18 answers



Add a comment...

Add comment



**Gert Van Der Walt** · May 26

Michael it's not fair to quote Torsten Hehl while you have him blocked so that he can't respond. But nevertheless please explain the curvature on the picture below, which I took with my iPhone 14 during a flight from Luanda to Johannesburg. [\(more\)](#)

8 Reply ...

**Martin Dennett** · Jun 9  
Is the lower image from an app? Or part of the iPhone 14 OS?  
 1 Reply ...

**Gert Van Der Walt** Hi Martin. It's an app called My Altitude. What's interesting though is th...

**Brandon** · Oct 3  
Clear your mind for one minute. Forget the globe forget curvature forget everything you believe including gravity, eclipses, sunrise/sunsets, relativity, heliocentricity and whatever else you believe in. Now look at the image at [\(more\)](#)  
 Reply ...

**Gert Van Der Walt** Okay thanks for the advice. I've cleared my mind but have a question no...

**Hasmal Dawn** · May 25  
What useful predictions are you able to come up with from your globe denial?  
Navigators use the globe over 100,000 times a day to predict accurate navigation. Your best seems to be we can see a bit further than we should, but not enough further to have any actual use.  
 11 Reply ...

**Michael Brenner** · May 25  
Mankind has made precise predictions for way longer than the heliocentric model exists, so according to your logic, the heliocentric model does not have any actual use. And then, when I can show that observed reality does **not** [\(more\)](#)  
 1 Reply ...

**Hasmal Dawn** I used the globe earth for accurate navigation for 4 decades. That's very...

**Shane Michaels** · May 26  
There's no FE map, no human has ever seen one.  
There's no FE model that can predict anything, no human has ever seen one.  
There's no set of "FE facts", no human has ever seen them...



- Earth's shape: flat
- Diameter: unknown
- Area: unknown.
- Dome's composition: unknown
- Dome's altitude: unknown
- Polaris Borealis photos taken from the south hemisphere: none
- Sun's composition: unknown
- Sun's shape: unknown
- Sun's altitude: unknown
- Moon's shape: unknown
- Moon's composition: unknown
- Moon's altitude: unknown
- Eclipses mechanics: unknown
- Earthquakes mechanics: unknown
- Climate mechanics: unknown
- Precise maps: none.

Would appreciate some answers to any of the above please.

9 Reply ...



**Brandon** · Oct 3


That's funny saying there's no FE map. Globeys are famous for posting them on here. Indeed Martin Dennet has copied one below. Are you telling him his map isn't real?

Reply ...



**Martin Dennett** · May 23

Would be better if I was tagged so I would have a chance to see what you wrote. I was directed here in a tagged comment in response to this but the comment has mysteriously disappeared. Any idea why that might be Michael? In response t [\(more\)](#)



5 Reply ...



**Michael Brenner** · May 24

You did not address the undeniable visual evidence I presented, instead you always come back with the same old cartoons over and over. If you can't address the images presented you have no argument. And stop being a... [\(more\)](#)

Reply ...

**Daniel Candler** What "undeniable visual evidence"? I can see some pictures followed by a...



... of them can be

explained by the globe model. Would you be willing to walk through them one by one with me?



Reply



**Michael Brenner** · May 26

please go ahead - but spare me the atmosphere as globers "go to magic fudge factor" which fixes all their problems - selectively, whenever they need it, the way they need it.



Reply



**Tim Good** I'm an engineer. I know what a fudge factor is. Sometimes we use them...

View more comments

View 17 other answers to this question

About the Author



**Michael Brenner**

Studied Mechanical Engineering & Comparative Linguistics at Vienna University of Technology

939K content views 9.9K this month

Active in 1 Space

Joined August 2016

Following · 564    Notify me    Ask question



More answers from Michael Brenner

View more

If we travel at the velocity of light for one year in space, is it really true that we will be younger than our twin brother, when we later arrive back on Earth?

1,272 views

What are some conspiracies that you believe in?

934 views

Have we lost hope for the unification of quantum mechanics and gravity?

726 views

Based on the theory that the earth is round, we have been able to solve many problems and make countless other discoveries. What potential good might come from "proving" the earth is flat?

1,046 views

Roger Penrose says, "String theory is fashion, quantum physics is faith, and cosmic inflation is fantasy." Is he right?

3,763 views