

Has Einstein's theory of relativity been proven?



Michael Brenner

Studied Mechanical Engineering & Comparative Linguistics at Vienna University of Technology · Mar 7

It's almost funny to listen how defenders of relativity answer this question: some will wiggle out of responsibility by saying that theories cannot be proven, like AstroKevin here in the answers, others will claim that Relativity has been proven over and over again, like Brian Greene in his lectures, citing for instance the Hafele-Keating experiment where an atomic clock on an airplane was compared with a clock on earth, or the muon lifetime experiment.

As is so often the case, these arguments are all suspended in information free space, counting on you to be as uninformed as the relativists themselves. I have shown that sad state of affairs in a recent article about Erwin Schroedinger who is always associated with Quantum Mechanics, when in fact he is the opposite of it, he makes Quantum mechanics obsolete. Schroedinger does wave mechanics and it is Max Born who singlehandedly forges Schroedinger's wave mechanics into a particle mechanic with all its irrationalities and absurdities. Here, we have a similar situation, where Einstein is associated with the slowing of moving clocks, when in fact he has nothing to do neither with the idea nor with the math of slowing clocks: not even Lorentz is the father of the so called Lorentz transformations, but **Woldemar Voigt** who introduced these transformations **1887** in his book *"On the Principle of Doppler"*

Then it is given, in a very simple and natural way, and formally identical with (8):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= x_1 - \varkappa t \\ \eta_1 &= y_1 q \\ \zeta_1 &= z_1 q \\ \tau &= t - \frac{\varkappa x_1}{\omega^2}, \text{ where } q = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varkappa^2}{\omega^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

[AU 2]

But Voigt operated with a medium as authority, that is his reference frames were hierarchical: one was moving and one was stationary.

What Einstein did was eliminating the hierarchy of reference frames, introducing empty space and replacing them with peer-to-peer reference frames, that is no frame has a

Upvote · 1

It is this principle that stands to test and ultimately to prove, NOT the slowing of clocks, which has been proposed by Voigt, not by Einstein. It turns out that all tests and all proves have been proving Voigt in a set of hierarchical reference frames, and NOT Einstein in a set of peer-to-peer reference frames. This is also completely impossible, as clocks can never be slow relative to each other at the same time - but that is what relativity claims, and with this the whole theory self disintegrates immediately.

Once more: it is not Einstein who says a muon decays slower, that is, lives longer because it moves, it is Voigt who says so, what Einstein says is that a muon lives longer **and** shorter at the same time, because there is no hierarchy and the muon could say *"I'm at rest and the earth zips towards me, so I'm decaying fast, but the scientist on earth measure me decaying slow"*. That is the irrationality of Einstein which of course can never be proven because nature doesn't do this kind of thing.

And of course their pet argument is GPS, which is claimed would not work without Relativity. That is of course nonsense, because it is again a one way operation, where the *"principle of relativity"* is not applied, and on top of that GPS also dismantles the belief that $c+v$ is the same as $c-v$, another staple of Relativity, when in fact the technical handbook for GPS engineers tell us the opposite: *"GPS has to include all receiver motion in the effect-rotational or not"* (GPS ICD 200 document)

838 views · View 1 upvote

1 of 101 answers



View **100** other answers to this question >

About the Author



Michael Brenner

Studied Mechanical Engineering & Comparative Linguistics at Vienna University of Technology

939K content views 9.9K this month

Active in 1 Space

Joined August 2016



Following · 564



Notify me



Ask question

