SciProp: Analysis & Obituary

robert.bennett@rcn.com

Nov 2023

It isn't what we do not know

That causes grief and woe,

As much as what we think we know

That turns out just not so.

Scientific method

- 1. Record data
- 2. Form hypothesis
- 3. Design test.....?


Scope in space?....in time?

- 4. Perform tests for proof
- Accept/reject hypothesis? Philo=? Reasoning? Ideology?

Physics Bio, Chem ...

theories & laws

Knowledge Domains




MainStream Analogy

Paradigm shift timing

- 1- Ignored
- 2- Mocked and ridiculed
- 3- Accepted by all as always true


Pauli:

All mainsteam opponents die












Dissident Motto




Ptolemy -GC 150 AD




Copernicus - HC 1543



Tycho Brahe GC 1583






Validated by the Sci Method


Solar system barycenter

JPL model



GWW


Bible belief is Geostatism, not Geocentrism!




Stellar parallax 1832


Parallax: position shift of 2 objects relative to a 3rd.


reference line is S-N-F





Stellar aberration 1727






Kepler's 3rd law 1609


Fg=GmM/R² =Fc =
$$mR\omega^2 = mR4\pi^2/T^2$$

$$=>(GM/4\pi^2)T^2=R^3$$

Planet	Semimajor axis (10 ¹⁰ m)	Period T (y)	$\begin{bmatrix} T^2/a^3\\ (10^{-34}y^2/m^3) \end{bmatrix}$
Mercury	5.79	0.241	2.99
Venus	10.8	0.615	3.00
Earth	15.0	1	2.96
Mars	22.8	1.88	2.98
Jupiter	77.8	11.9	3.01
Saturn	143	29.5	2.98
Uranus	287	84	2.98
Neptune	450	165	2.99
Pluto	590	248	2.99




Kepler and Tycho Brahe





Airy's failure Video slide


Airy's Failure speed = 1, end at 3:30



Airy's Failure 1871









Air drag

MS model







Jet Streams & Circulation Zones


Earth




Jupiter








Eastbound aether wind




Tides


axis of rotation




Ocean aether currents



Jones Aether test 1971





Fresnel drag test 1851

Fresnel's Law:


$$SoL = c/n + v(1-1/n^2)$$

• In vacuum: SoL = c+v


• In air: $SoL \sim c+v$

• In water: SoL = 0.75c+0.44v

ALFA model



Galaev aether test 2002








Table 2: Dependence of the ether drift velocity on the height above the Earth's surface

	The ether drift velocity (m/sec)			
Height above	This work	The experiment [13]	The experiment [79]	The experiment [10]
the Earth's surface	2001-2002	1998-1999	1925-1926	1929
(meters)	Optics	Radio waves band	Optics	Optics
1830	_	_	10000	6000
265	_	_	3000	_
42	_	1414	_	_
4.75	435	_		
1.6	205	_		

Flyby anomaly

with aether headwind ' '' ' ' space in '' ' than expected.





Summary of aether tests

type		speed in km/s	direction
Miller	MMX	374 +- 63 (5	5.2h, –67°)

Marinov rotating mirrors 350

Torr & coax cable	417 +- 40	(5.2h, −65°)
-------------------	-----------	--------------

Kohlen

Cahill flyby 400 +- 20 (5.5h, -70°)

NASA flyby 420 +- 30 (5.2h, -70°)

CMB dipole satellite 368 (6.4h, -48)

Atsukovsky aethe

D:air 1.2

P: atm 10⁵ N/m³

SE:H bomb = 10^{15} j aether = 10^{16} j in 1m³

2SVel:star R = 10^{12} Lyr


CV/DV:hard to push; easy to spin


M: electron = 10^{-30}


D: " = 10^{-10}

Parameters of the Aether, according to Atsukovsky's Aetherodynamics

ノ	Addatas de la companya del companya del companya de la companya de				
	Parameter	Quantity	Measure u		
	Asther in totality				
	Density	ρ ₃ =8.85-10 ⁻¹²	kg/m³		
	Pressure	P>1.3-10 ³⁶	N/m ²		
	Specific energy	w >1.3 -10 ³⁶	J/m³		
	Temperature	T <10 ^{−44}	K		
	1-st sound velocity	$v_1 > 43 \cdot 10^{23}$	m/s		
	2-nd sound velocity	$v_2 = c = 3.10^8$	m/s		
	Temperature-conductivity coefficient	a≈4-10 ⁹	m ² /s		
	Heat-conductivity coefficient	k, ≈12-10 ⁸⁹	kg·m/(s³·K)		
	Cinematic viscosity	χ≈4-10 ⁹	m ² ·s		
	Dynamical viscosity	η≈3.5-10 ⁻²	kg/(m·s))		
	Adiabatic index	1-1.4	-		
	Heat capacity at const. pressure	$\epsilon_p > 1.4 \cdot 10^{91}$	m ² /(s ² ·K)		
	Heat capacity at constant volume	c _V >10 ⁹¹	$m^2/(s^2 \cdot K)$		
	Aether element ("A'mer", according to Demokrit)				
	Mass	$m_a < 1.5 - 10^{-114}$	kg		
	Diameter	d _a <15-10 ⁻⁴⁵	m		
	Number in a unit of volume	n _a >5.8-10 ¹⁰²	1/m³		
	Average length of free movement	λ _a <7.4-10 ⁻¹⁵	m		
	Average speed of thermal movement	u _{ci} ≈ 5.4-10 ²³	m/s		









MMX

For tests of isotropy/constant SoL, Vacuum Interferometers invalid Demjanov

As n = SoL/c => 1, light wave amplitude => 0



Miller Mt Wilson




Demjanov MMX test 2010

n=1 => zero light wave amplitude => SoL = c


$$n>1 => SoL = c + V => V = Vae ~ 140 to 480 m/sec$$

 $n=\sqrt{2}$

Amp = 0 at n=1 &



Michelson-Gale 1925




Foucault pendulum



Rotation of Earth....
FP must sense the stars (Mach's principle)

or aether?
Bible and Hildegard support



Einstein's 1905 Special Relativity paper

What's right: 2 sections: Kinematics & Dynamics..but not applied correctly.

Proper time ? $t = t_0 / \sqrt{(1-v^2/c^2)}$ but $v => v_{obj}$; $c => c + v_a$

Length contraction? Not observed... rigid rod conflict!

Faraday Law reversal Absolute Reference Frame(Lab), not relative motion

MMX: 1) HC ...Earth in solar orbit XXX 2) no Aether XXX

Postulate 1: Laws of Dynamics are valid in inertial frames of reference.

Postulate 2: Speed of Light(SoL) is always c in vacuum.

Disproof: Ruyong Wang(2005) ALFA: Absolute Lab Frame + Fluid Aether


Also: Sagnac(1913)


No references

False premises in the 1905 paper


- The MMX found 'null' speed of Earth through aether.
- Relativity applied to dynamics. XXX
- The aether did not exist. XXX
- The Earth orbits the Sun. XXX

Three Tests of General Relativity





Eddington star plate



Time dilation...light clock


SR: L=cto, x=vt, hyp=ct


$$(cto)^2 + (vt)^2 = (ct)^2$$

=> t= to/ $\sqrt{(1-v^2/c^2)}$


velocity Δ : $c^2 + v^2 = c^2 X$

ALFA/aether Vmir = Vae ! $(cto)^2 + (vt)^2 = (c^2+v^2)t^2$ => t = to !





velocity
$$\Delta$$
: $c^2 + v^2 = c^2 + v^2 \sqrt{ }$




"The interference terms according to the expression 7) /o/4 the relative

Dufour & Prunier 1942

SoL = c+v ind. of light source & interferometer

radial motion...no effect


SR fringe shift 10 times less than data $(v^2/c^2 \text{ versus } v/c)$




In a system moving as a whole relative to the ether, the propagation time between any two points of the system should change in a way similar to a stationary system subjected to an ether wind, the relative speed of which at each point of the system will be the same and directly opposite to the speed of any point and would contain light waves in a manner similar to atmospheric wind carrying sound waves.

The observed interference effect is very much the effect of optical rotation due to the motion of the system relative to the ether, and directly shows the existence of the ether, a necessary condition for the luminiferous [ether] waves proposed by Huygens and Fresnel.

Wang Linear Sagnac Test 2005





Wang results

if v = 0,SoL = c in all frames;

for
$$v > 0$$
 SoL= $c + v ==$

To do: Map Earth aether with Wang/Sagnac test?

Then ISS or Moon?

	Kine- matic	Special Relativity	Dynamics (Galilean)			
La b	C+V	c post 2	C+V			
Co n	C+V	c post 2	c post 1 X			

Aether


SoL

Vae.lab

Vlab=0

Vae_lab=c/n

Galileo's ship




Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools... Rom 1:22






End of Part I

Hildegard Cosmology 12th century



5 Spheres: Fire, Aether, Water, Air, Earth





Hildegard aether winds

Psalm 147:4 'He named the stars

Psalm 33:6 'He breathed, and stars were bo


Daniel 7:9
the Ancient of Days took his seat
...A river of fire was flowing,
coming out from before him.





Geostat Sat & Hildegard

Geostationary Satellite(GeoSat)



Aether Gravity Model

1) Universal gravity ...

P_{cosmos} = constant cosmic pressure

P < Pcosmos


Each has $Acf = v^2/r$

when $v \uparrow$, $P \downarrow$

- 2) Impact of spinning atomic particles
- 3)Bernoulli's eqn: $P + \rho v^2/2 = const.$
- 4) Pressure drops inside each mass
- 5) surface gravity: sum of all atoms

Particle motion causes gravity!

Why Fg~1/R²? need Quantum Aether Theory!



Newton's Bucket 1687


Lab frame



Result




Prediction $F_c = mv^2/r$ V > 0




$$F_c = mv^2/r$$

Fc > 0 **OK**

Bucket frame





$$Fc = 0$$
 XX

Fictitious Forces!

Lab frame





Result

V > 0

Prediction

 $F_c = mv^2/r$





Fc > 0 **OK**

Bucket frame



V = 0



Fc = 0 **XX**

Not inertial, So add $F_c = mv^2/r !!$

Mach's principle 1883

Mainstream:

"physical law relating motion of distant stars to local inertial frame."

"local laws determined by the large-scale structure of the universe."



such forces are produced by the relative rotation with respect to the <u>Earth</u> and the other celestial objects.

Ernst Mach



Earth?....Yes stars?No

Newton: Absolute ref frame!....but where?

Bennett's Hiker 2018

Hitchhiker frame


Car/Driver frame



Prediction:

Fd= Md*a

Driver feels inertial force Fd



Prediction:

Fh=mh(-a)

Fh <> 0

But.... hiker feels no force!


Kinematics

motion measurement variables t, x, v, a.....math



Circles => $a_c = v^2/r$

Pendulum: $T = 2\pi\sqrt{(L/g)}$



Free fall => a = g, $d = at^2/2 + v_0 t + d_0$

Relative motion valid: $V_{ab} = -V_{ba}$...observer/ref frame independence

Induction: specific to general quantity of motion

Dynamics Intro

Motion **prediction** variables + (m, F, I, Q,etc.).....physics

Relative motion invalid

Observer/ref frame dependence = Lab/Earth frame

Proof of Absolute Lab Frame: Bucket/Hiker Faraday


Deduction: general to specific **quality** of motion Dynamics asserts geo-statism, removing relativity, special and general, from rational consideration as a predictive theory.

Dynamics Theory

Hamilton's Principle:
$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q_k, \dot{q}_k, t) dt = 0$$


Evaluated:
$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} \, \delta q_k + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \, \delta \dot{q}_k \, \right) dt = 0$$

Which gives rise to Euler-Lagrange equations:




 q_k = generalized coordinates

Kinematics: actual motion measurement Dynamics: future motion prediction



Kine vs Dyn



"...WE CAN TAKE EITHER THE EARTH OR THE SUN, OR ANY OTHER POINT FOR THAT MATTER, AS THE CENTER OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM. THIS IS CERTAINLY SO FOR THE PURELY KINEMATICAL PROBLEM OF DESCRIBING THE PLANETARY MOTIONS. IT IS ALSO POSSIBLE TO TAKE ANY POINT AS THE CENTER EVEN IN DYNAMICS, ALTHOUGH RECOGNITION OF THIS FREEDOM OF CHOICE HAD TO AWAIT THE PRESENT CENTURY." - ASTRONOMER, FRED HOYLE

DATA. THE DISTURBING FEATURES ARE ALL INTRODUCED BY THE RECESSION FACTORS, BY THE ASSUMPTION THATRED-SHIFTS ARE VELOCITY-SHIFTS. THE DEPARTURE FROM A LINEAR LAW OFRED-SHIFTS, THE DEPARTURE FROM UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION, THE CURVATURE NECESSARY TO RESTORE HOMOGENEITY, THE EXCESS MATERIAL DEMANDED BY THE CURVATURE, EACH OF THESE IS MERELY THE RECESSION FACTOR IN ANOTHER FORM....IF THE RECESSION FACTOR IS DROPPED, IF RED-SHIFTS ARE NOT PRIMARILY VELOCITY-SHIFTS, THE PICTURE IS SIMPLE AND PLAUSIBLE. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF EXPANSION AND NO RESTRICTION OF THE TIMESCALE, NO TRACE OF SPATIAL CURVATURE, AND NO LIMITATION OF SPATIAL DIMENSIONS. MOREOVER, THERE IS NO PROBLEM OF INTER-NEBULAR MATERIAL"

-EDWIN HUBBLE, THE OBSERVATIONAL APPROACH TO COSMOLOGY, P. 63.

Covariance

reference frames effect on physical laws
eqn(r,t,v,a) =? eqn(r',t',v',a')
coordinate system change(cartesian to polar) => weak covariance
reference frame (earth to satellite) => strong "
eg: F= ma =>? F'= ma' same form => covariance

Lorentzian: Galilean: x' = x - vt; t' = t

$x' = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$
$\sqrt{1-\frac{c^2}{c^2}}$
y' = y
z'=z
$t-\frac{vx}{2}$
$t' = \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{c^2}}$
$\int_{1} - \frac{v^2}{v^2}$

		No Aether	Aether	Mainstream Einstein
=	Newton Mechanics	Galileo	Galileo	Lorentz
	Maxwell Electromagnetism	Lorentz	Galileo	Lorentz

Faraday Induction...the Generator 1831


Faraday Exp speed 1; end at 2:25

meter stationary only

EMF = $qVc, m \perp B$ line 3 & 6 XXX

	Outo	omes from Farada	y disk ger	erator te	sts:			
	forw	ard current = clocky	vise, back	ward = cou	nterclockwi	se		
			if magne	tic field do	esn't rotate	if magne	tic field doe	es rotate
all rotations clockwise				disk	meter		disk	meter
				current	current		current	current
disk rotates	mag stationary	meter rotates	0	forward	backward	0	forward	backward
disk rotates	mag stationary	meter stationary	voltage	forward	0	voltage	forward	0
disk rotates	mag rotates	meter stationary	voltage	forward	0	voltage	0	forward
disk rotates	mag rotates	meter rotates	0	forward	backward	0	0	0
disk stationary	mag stationary	meter stationary	0	0	0	0	0	0
disk stationary	mag rotates	meter stationay	0	0	0	0	backward	forward
disk stationary	mag stationary	meter rotates	voltage	0	backward	voltage	0	backward
disk stationary	mag rotates	meter rotates	voltage	0	hackward	voltage	hackward	0

Faraday's anomaly



$$EMF = qVc, m \perp B$$

Test SR Agree?

Disk spins $\Rightarrow \sqrt{\sqrt{}}$

Magnet spins => NO \sqrt{X}

Both spin => \sqrt{NO} NO X

Use ALFA? $V_{c,m} => V_{c,Lab} \sqrt{}$

Result: SR X ALFA √

(Aether) Vector Potential

Vector: Size & Direction V $V(x,y,z) \rightarrow \text{ or } V(r,\theta,z)$

 ∇ **V** vector change ...in shape/space ∇ **·V** divergence ...radial change in V ∇ **xV** curl .. change in rot/spin so ∇ **·**(∇ x**V**) = 0 ∇

Eg: Faraday's law: $\nabla x \mathbf{E} = \partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$
 so let $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{A}$ & $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{A}) = 0$

Use other Max laws => $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t$

now $6 \Rightarrow 4!$ Maxlaws(**E,B**) \Rightarrow Maxlaws'(**A**, φ)

Aharonov-Bohm Test

B=0 but A>0 outside Emag


Beam recombined Solenoid

QM prediction

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar \nabla - q\underline{A})^2 + q\underline{\varphi} \right] \Psi$$


E-beams interference only for A Classical E&M ~ QM

=> A is Aether field



Û N Vaether Michelson-Gale North+ Pole Global Earth's epin Positioning Satellites

GPS



GPS ~1980

Clock Corrections

Satellite clocks use GPS time in the ECI reference frame

ECI = Earth Centered Inertial (Star frame)

Ground receiver clocks use UTC time in the ECEF reference frame

ECEF = Earth Centered Earth Fixed

ECI => Solar day => 1 year, 6 mos of day, then night

Lunar tides change every 6 weeks, not 12 hrs

NOT OBSERVED!

ECI is a fantasy frame! (of mathematicians posing as physicists)

$E = mc^2$?

< 1905:

First to state? Lorentz/Poincare' et al

 $E= (4/3) mc^2$ only for EM mass

Others: $E = kmc^2 k = 0.5 to 1$

AE: 1) E includes kinetic energy

- 2) k = 1
- 3) derived from SRTXXX SR is false

Agrees with aether models:

A + for big Al: 1922 Nobel prize for the Photoelectric Effect

Stellar Reference FrameSRF

- 1. No diagram possible: Where to place observer?
- 2. Not testable
- 3. Sun is star, but SRF is not the HC RefFrame
- 4. Inter stellar motion => which star is the SRF?...binaries
- 5. Inconsistent definition: In the Andromeda RF, Earth => part of the stellar frame => Lab RF = SRF!

Noah's Flood


Grand Canyon Evidence

Rapid layering


Sea life far above sea leve


Trans-continental layers


No erosion since formatio



Flood Flow Sedimentatio








Flood evidence

DISTRIBUTION OF SOME FLOOD STORIES

Each dot indicates a local version.





Mt St Helens



Sample	Age / millions
Whole rock	0.35 ± 0.05
Feldspar, etc.	0.34 ± 0.06
Amphibole, etc.	0.9 ± 0.2
Pyroxene, etc.	1.7 ± 0.3
Pyroxene	2.8 ± 0.6


70 ft valley formed in 2 months


DNA and Evolution

"Junk" DNA => metacode for control

```
Worm
                  An Operating System
                  w/ Data, Control, Memory, Repair
Fly
Sequoia
              22
                  Genome project results?
Human
              46
Chimp
              48
              78
                   1000 species extinctions/year...Origin?
Dog
Goldfish
                   94
Fern
              216
```

Po Creation Halos





Peleg

post-Flood problems: Volcanoes...Ice age..Tidal waves/tsunamai

- Volcanic activity warms the oceans.
- Warm oceans release more water vapor.
- Heavy snow falls on cold continents.
- Volcanic aerosols block light and prevent melting.
- Over time snow layers form into glaciers.


Time of Job & Peleg: "world" divided.




Shock dynamics

Accounts for:


- -neo-geology of Pangea
- -ice age
- -continental drift & formation
- -iridium layer from meteorites
- -mid-ocean ridges
- -mountain-building
- -fossil sequence in strata
- -secondary impacts in AK, SC...
- bio-geographic correlations
- plates still moving.



Pioneer anomaly



Pioneer deceleration




Gravity time

EM time

acc = dv/dt

=> Aether effect on EM time




Earth rotation & VLBI

MS: Stars fixed; Earth rotates

ALFA: Stars have aether aberration; Earth fixed

=> need multiple stars Radio **Telescope** Hydrogen maser clock (accuracy 1 sec in 1 million years) High speed data link Correlator



Big Bang & Red Shift

MSP: Doppler shift

if
$$c = f \lambda$$
 (SR)

uster of galaxies, BAS11

ars

then
$$\underline{\mathbf{v}_{\text{star}}}/\mathbf{c} = \Delta \lambda/\lambda_0$$

but $na(\lambda) = na(\lambda) = na(\lambda) = na(\lambda)$ radial $v = na(\lambda)$

<u>no star motion</u>

s emit light...and aether!

Dis: Stellar Aether Emission

if
$$c + v = f\lambda$$
 $v = v_{ae}$
divide by $c = f \lambda_0$


$$=> \overline{\Lambda}^{\overline{ae}}/C = \overline{\nabla} y/\overline{y}^{\overline{0}}$$


Same as Doppler shift...


No Big Bang proof!


<u>Dark Energy = Star Aether Emission</u>

CMB Multipoles











CMB Axis of Evil



npole equinox ecliptic odds(<28°/720°)

<u>ipoic</u>	<u>cquiriox (</u>	<u> </u>
2	14 ⁰	11 °
280		Prob:0.0001
4	23°	
7 °		100,000 to 1
8	17 º	




CMB map

CMB interpretation


Universal view of ancient universe


Backyard analogy!



CMB Dipole

Red pole points to Regulus(Little King)
Approaching at 360 km/s ...220 mi/sec
In Leo.....Lion of Juda?
Reminder of Parousia...the 2nd Coming.





Quantum entanglement Bell's Inequality Test

- 1) Non-localSoL > c
- Hidden causes...QM incompleteor


Realism false!

Statistical correlation constraints (like coin test)


Result: not hidden causes

• • • • • •


MSP: realism false!



Vedic symbols of Time realms



Webb ensnares Big Bang



ALFA Model <u>Absolute Lab Frame + Fluid</u> <u>Aether</u>

Restores Biblical Geostatism; Refutes HelioC

ALF for dynamic predictions, mechanical and EN


Aether :reference frame for EM SoL = c+v

Rejects BB cosmology; promotes Genesis

Kinematics & Dynamics ALWAYS explicit

Relativity only applies in Kinematics

1925 Miller result: aether is ~ 5 km/s amp.



Choose your own absolute!

SYSTEM ABSOLUTE

Ptolemy Earth

Copernicus/Galileo Sun

Tycho Brahe Earth

Kepler/GPS Stars

Newton Space & Time

Lorenz Aether

Einstein Light Speed

George Ellis CMB source

Mainstream Cosmological Principle

Big Bang Universal expansion

Steady State Infinity

Scripture/ALFA Earth


Aether philosophical properties

Philosophical devotion to heliocentrism and materialism has decimat true understanding of the Aether and the nature of reality.

So what is the Aether?!


- Aether is NOT a substance that permeates space. Space is not an allencompassing container that houses all phenomena. This is a MAJOR disconnect with a true understanding of Aether physics.
- Again, Aether is not a substance, thus it is not physical in the same way the other Fire, Water, Earth and Air. (Aristotle was right about substance)
 - Aether is non-cartesian nor can it be measured. (No location, extension and no duration). Philosophical dedication to materialism renders this understanding futile, there in which lies the problem.
 - Aether is an immaterial background medium of PURE POTENTIAL. It cannot be quantified or measured, intrinsically.
 - Aether is the necessary antecedent to "energy" which is simply the polarization of Aether or pressure mediation.

FE - The Apple of Discord





Well, this is awkward



Once to every man and nation comes the moment to decide, In the strife of Truth with Falsehood, for the good or evil side.

Truth forever on the scaffold, Lies forever on the throne. Yet the scaffold sways the future, and behind the dim unknown Stands God within the shadow, keeping watch above his own.

Though the cause of Evil prosper, yet 'tis Truth alone is strong, And, albeit she wander outcast now, I see around her throng Troops of beautiful, tall angels, to enshield her from all wrong.

New occasions teach new duties; Time makes ancient good uncouth; We must upward still, and onward, who would keep abreast of Truth.

The Present Crisis J. R. Lowell

End Part II


Dark Matter

DM: galactic aether spin at 24 hrs stellar day

• • • • •

DE: red shift misinterpretation

Stellar aether emission...not Doppler shift



Newton and Aether

...includes Kepler laws

Hildegard: circles, not ellipses

=> only vortex circles!

Newton & Feynman: aether friction => planet death spiral

"Hence it is manifest that t planets are not carried roun in corporeal vortices; for, according to the Copernican hypothesis, the planets going round the sun revolve in ellipses, having the sun in their common focus; and by radii drawn to the sun describe areas proportional to the times. But now the parts of a vortex can never revolve with such a motion."