

Why do flat-Earthers think the Earth is flat?

This question previously had details. They are now in a comment.



Michael Brenner

Studied Mechanical Engineering & Comparative Linguistics at Vienna University of Technology · Sep 30

Because of increasing and irrefutable evidence - in fact, none of the creators of the spinning space ball philosophy beginning with Copernicus presented any physical evidence, did any physical research, any experiments, any direct data collection, it was all a mathematical interpretation of the relative movements of celestial bodies, but as Einstein admitted:

*"The struggle so violent in the early days of science between the views of Ptolemy and Copernicus would then be quite meaningless. Either coordinate system could be used with equal justification. The two sentences **"the Sun is at rest and the earth moves"** or **"the Sun moves and the earth is at rest"** would simply mean two different conventions concerning two different coordinate systems.*


Copernicus was very much aware of that:

*".....So far as hypotheses are concerned, **let no one expect anything certain from astronomy**, which cannot furnish it, lest he accept as the truth ideas conceived for another purpose, **and depart from this study a greater fool than when he entered it.** Farewell!"*

So, there is NO physical evidence to be gained from the skies that suggest earth is a spinning space ball, even Steven Hawking understood that:

Stephen Hawking 2007: *"...to the question whether or not the motion of the Earth in space can be made perceptible in terrestrial experiments. We have already remarked... that **all attempts of this nature led to a negative result...."***

But there is more and more terrestrial evidence to the contrary: infrared photography shows objects at distances that would be completely impossible on a globe, and nowadays LoRa communications break **line of sight** records across 1300km:



This is direct line of sight at sea level, not bouncing off the ionosphere as globe defenders would be quick to infer:

learned in school. Most of them find this remarkably easy, because Dogma is as it may be, but the real world is as we perceive it to be." (Royal Air Force College, in 'New Scientist', Aug. 16, 1979, p. 543)

NASA also calculates straight and level flights over a stationary earth:

"3 CONCLUDING REMARKS: This report derives and defines a set of linearized system matrices for a rigid aircraft of constant mass, **flying in a stationary atmosphere over flat, non-rotating earth**. Both generalized and standard linear system equations are derived from nonlinear six-degree-of-freedom equations of motion and a **large collection of nonlinear observation (measurement) equations**. (National Aeronautics and Space Edwards, California, January 8, 1987)"

These are NOT simplifications as often claimed, because leaving out the rotating part would make all these calculations completely worthless, and it also says that they are based on observations and measurements.

Also, all images showing a curved horizon from airborne vehicles are lens artefacts, not as seen by the persons posting these fake curves, something media darling deGrasse Tyson emphatically pointed out:



"You don't see the curvature of the Earth if you're 2mm above this beach ball! You just don't! That stuff is flat!"

434 views · View 5 upvotes · View 1 share

1 of 293 answers



Add a comment...

Add comment



Ewan Brown · Oct 4

"did any physical research, any experiments, any direct data collection, it was all a mathematical interpretation of the relative movements of celestial bodies" sorry, I got this far before I burst out laughing. You should really try to. It contradict y (more)



Reply

...



Michael Brenner · Oct 4

Well, why not enlighten us about the experiments Copernicus, Kepler, Newton (and Einstein for that matter) did with regard to motion of massive bodies!



Reply


...

Ewan Brown I don't need to - You talked about them already - observing the movement of...



Mike Shellenberger Jr. · Oct 3

Not 1 shred of evidence proves that 🌐 is flat NONE. 🌐 Is a PROVEN GLOBE AND SPACE IS REAL AND ENDLESS. STOP BELIEVING IN DEBUNKED CONSPIRACY THEORIES WITH ZERO EVIDENCE TO BACK UP YOUR CLAIMS ABOUT FLAT 🌐 (more)



2 Reply ...

Michael Brenner · Oct 3
Rim stress is solely dependent on linear tangential speed, and basalt, earth's crust, has a tensile strength orders of magnitude less than the stress produced by 1600km/h linear speed at the equator. Also, freely rotating objects [orb](#) (more)

Reply ...

Ewan Brown "than the stress produced by 1600km/h linear speed at the equator." How do...

Michael Brenner · Nov 6
So which religious source tells you that God created an "insignificant" spherical earth orbiting a "humdrum star lost in a galaxy tucked away in some forgotten corner of the universe" (C.Sagan) And btw, there is more physical evidenc (more)

Reply ...

James Hawke · Oct 9
Why would anyone think the earth is a big ball?

Reply ...

Andre Hermanus · Nov 6
"This is direct line of sight at sea level, not bouncing off the ionosphere as globe defenders would be quick to infer:" Bouncing off the ionosphere? Do you understand radio wave propagation including the techniques used to overcor (more)

Reply ...

Michael Brenner · Nov 6
The point was it's a "direct line of sight"!

Reply ...

Andre Hermanus "The point was it's direct line of sight" You jumped to conclusions too...

View 100+ other answers to this question >

About the Author



Michael Brenner

Studied Mechanical Engineering & Comparative Linguistics at Vienna University of Technology

939K content views 9.9K this month

Active in 1 Space

Joined August 2016

Following · 564 Notify me Ask question ...